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PART I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

• INTRODUCTION

The Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence (MNADV) obtained a VAWA grant for the 2003-2004 grant year to establish a Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team (DVFRRT) in Anne Arundel County. In May, 2004 the Director of the Crisis Intervention Center of the Calvert County Health Department, Sharon Bickel, approached the MNADV with an offer to establish a DVFRRT in Calvert County. She indicated that she thought the support for domestic violence fatality review existed and wanted to begin the process. The MNADV and Ms. Bickel identified agencies and organizations who come in contact with victims and/or perpetrators of domestic violence and who would be approached to serve on the Calvert County DVFRRT.

On October 25, 2004, the Calvert County DVFRRT met, under the guidance of the team consultant from the MNADV, to begin the process of reviewing and revising a draft protocol supplied by the MNADV, based on its experience with the Anne Arundel County DVFRRT.

• PURPOSE AND GOALS OF THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE FATALITY REVIEW TEAM

The purpose of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team is to bring together a multi-disciplinary team to review domestic violence cases which resulted in deaths or near-deaths and examine the events that lead up to the fatality or assault. The goals of the DVFRRT are to reduce the rate of domestic violence related deaths and assaults in Calvert County, to identify possible gaps in services provided to victims of domestic violence, to make non-accusatory recommendations to improve interventions and programs in the future, to improve agency response to victims of domestic violence, and to educate the victims, their families, the community and the perpetrators about domestic violence.
MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the Calvert County Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team (DVFRT) is to reduce the incidence of domestic violence, to prevent the occurrence of domestic violence fatalities, and to improve the quality of life for victims of domestic violence and their families. The team will pursue its mission of committing itself to discover the antecedent causes of domestic violence fatalities or near fatalities, such as identifying gaps in service, by seeking to improve the coordinated community response to domestic violence, by holding abusers accountable for their actions, by recommending improvements in the criminal justice and civil systems that serve victims of abuse, by educating county institutions and citizens about domestic abuse, and by seeking to ensure compliance with its recommendations. In carrying out its mission of heightened community response, the Calvert County Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team hopes to free victims of domestic abuse and their families from the cycle of violence and empower them to pursue their lives without the control that is the stigma of abuse.

• PURPOSE OF ANNUAL REPORT

Domestic violence is defined as a pattern of behavior used to establish power and control over another family member or intimate partner using fear and intimidation, often including the threat or use of violence. The purpose of the Annual Report is to give an overview of those domestic violence cases resulting in death or near-death and record the Team’s findings and recommendations.
Part II. TEAM AND PROTOCOL DEVELOPMENT

* TEAM MEMBERSHIP STRUCTURE *

The DVFRT was designed as a multi-disciplinary team which calls upon information provided by its members to review deaths and near-deaths in domestic violence situations. Each agency agrees to provide two people, one primary representative and one alternate, to attend meetings, review cases and assist in formulating recommendations.

The MNADV entered into a memorandum of understanding with The Crisis Intervention Center, who assumed “lead agency” status, and the following agencies:

- Calvert County Department of Social Services
- Calvert County Domestic Violence Coordinating Council
- Calvert County Health Department, Crisis Intervention Center (lead agency)
- Calvert County Sheriff’s Office
- Calvert County State’s Attorney’s Office
- Calvert Memorial Hospital
- Maryland Division of Parole and Probation
- Maryland State Police
- Naval Criminal Investigative Service
- Safe Harbor Shelter
- Southern Maryland Center For Family Advocacy

In addition, in response to the findings contained in the 2005 Annual Report that substance abuse was a factor in three out of the six cases reviewed, Calvert Substance Abuse Services joined the Team in 2006. Also, in 2008 the Calvert County Detention Center joined the Team as well as a survivor of domestic violence.

* PROTOCOL DEVELOPMENT *

The MNADV provided the Calvert County Team with “start up” binders which included a packet of materials such as examples of letters addressing administrative processes, sample agendas and minutes, reference information with the draft and a protocol (with the appendices included). The Calvert Team, with the MNADV’s assistance, used the draft protocol as a starting point and spent several laborious months modifying and refining it to meet the unique needs of Calvert County. The protocol was finalized and approved by the Team in March 2005.

A copy of the Calvert County Protocol can be found in Appendix 2 of this document.
PART III. SCOPE OF REVIEWS

* CASE SCREENING COMMITTEE

The protocol allows any team member to attend Case Screening Committee (CSC) meetings but mandates attendance by the DVFRT Chairperson, the Assistant State’s Attorney, and the Calvert Investigative Team (which is represented by the Calvert County Sheriff’s Office and Maryland State Police).

When the CSC meets, the Calvert Investigative Team (CIT) will present all homicide, suicide, and near-fatal cases to the committee so that the committee can examine the cases to determine which cases meet the criteria for domestic violence involvement and should be reviewed.

In determining which cases to review, the Protocol requires that the Case Screening Committee (CSC) meet at least four weeks prior to a scheduled DVFRT meeting. The Chairman will then submit the victims’ and offenders’ names to the Team members so that the representatives, who are responsible for reviewing the records of their agency, can identify any information related to domestic violence about the parties.
PART IV.  2009 REVIEW

INTRODUCTION

After each case was presented by the Case Screening Committee and reviewed by the DVFRT, the Team made recommendations based on information provided during the review. The Team members believe that these recommendations can be useful in implementing effective prevention strategies.

• 2009 REVIEWS

The Calvert County DVFRT reviewed 4 cases in 2009. Three cases were near-fatal attacks and one was a suicide-by-cop. The cases occurred in 2001, 2005, 2006 and 2008. The cases included:

- Case # 1  Near-fatal Stabbing. Wife perpetrator (age 44), Husband victim (age 45)
- Case # 2  Near-fatal beating. Male perpetrator (age 45), Male victim (age 46), female victim (age 29)
- Case # 3  Near-fatal Shooting. Male perpetrator (age 37), female victim (age 34), 2 child victims (ages 12 and 14)
- Case # 4  Suicide-by-cop. Male victim (age 42)

• STATISTICAL BREAKDOWN

As noted above, the Calvert County Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team reviewed four cases including three near-fatal attacks and one suicide-by-cop. The following is a summary of interesting facts:

Relationship between victims and perpetrator:
- All of the couples were involved in heterosexual relationships.
- Two couples were married at the time of the incident.

Prior domestic violence reports, arrests, or protective orders:
- In only one case was there an active Protective Order at the time of the incident.
- In two cases, there had been a prior history of protective orders.
In three cases, there were prior criminal charges involving the parties.
In one case, there was no prior involvement with the system.

Points of contact with professional intervention prior to the assault (other than law enforcement):
- Two of the cases had prior contact with a domestic violence counseling provider or shelter.

Location of the homicide, near fatality, or suicide:
- The suicide-by-cop occurred outside of the marital residence. The male was under an active protective order at the time not to return to the residence.
- The near-fatalities all occurred in the victim’s residence.

Means or weapons used:
- The suicide-by-cop involved a firearm.
- One near-fatal attack involved a firearm.
- One near-fatal attack involved a baseball bat.
- One near-fatal attack involved a knife.

Substance abuse as a factor:
- Alcohol/substance abuse was a factor in 3 of the cases reviewed.

Impact on the families and community:
- In two of the cases reviewed, children were present and witnessed the incident.

Demographics:
- Three perpetrators were male, one perpetrator was female.
- Three perpetrators were Caucasian, one was African American.
PART V. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Carefully examining each of the cases reviewed, the Team was interested in what, if any, services the victim had sought prior to the incident. Had the victim or any family members made contact with a domestic violence advocacy group? We wanted to know whether, and how often, the police had been called to the residence and what was the disposition of the call. Had the victim applied for, been granted, and followed through with an order of protection? How many times had the victim sought relief through the courts? Had the offender ever violated a protective order? Had the offender ever been ordered to Abuser Intervention Program services? If so, had the offender completed the program? Had the victim ever been hospitalized because of an unexplained injury, and if so, had hospital personnel reported their concerns to the proper authorities? Was the Department of Social Services ever concerned with the welfare of the children in the family? Had any action been taken? In the months we spent reviewing the cases, the Team tried to identify trends that would be useful in preventing future deaths or violent assaults. We also examined any information uncovered during the review that might be specific to rural Calvert County and its population.

Agencies are encouraged to examine the recommendations carefully and communicate with the Team regarding the feasibility of implementing or improving suggested services to victims.

Below is a summary of each of the cases reviewed and the findings and recommendations of the Team:

CASE #1:  Near-fatal Stabbing. Wife perpetrator (age 44), Husband victim (age 45)

Time line of Events:

1988: relationship between Defendant and victim begins.
1988: Defendant charged with assault with intent to murder and battery on victim in St. Mary’s county; both counts dismissed by State
1996: Defendant went to Safe Harbor and filed for separation
6 months later: parties reunited
8/11/96: Victim charged with battery on Defendant; Victim found not guilty after Defendant invoked her spousal privilege
11/13/02: Victim placed hands around Defendant’s neck. The previous week, he tried to push her down the stairs.
1/16/03: Victim charged with second degree assault and Violation of a Protective Order but found not guilty.
9/1/03: Victim and Defendant are charged with second degree assault. Defendant was swinging a hammer at the victim in one hand and a knife in the other hand. Victim held her down on bed, pinned her arms, and threatened to break her legs. The Defendant was convicted of second degree assault and sentenced to 6 months with all but 31 days suspended and placed on 18 months supervised probation and ordered to psychiatric counseling and not to abuse the victim. The victim was found not guilty.
11/2005: Defendant takes out another protective order against the victim alleging that he sexually assaulted her with an ax handle, grabbed and pulled at her and crawled around the house where she was staying.

11/25/05: Victim charged with violating recent protective order. Victim was looking through the windows and attempting to make contact with the Defendant. Defendant also provides ten voice mails where the victim verbally harasses the Defendant.

May 2006: Victim charged with violating the protective order. Served 90 days. He was on work release and came to her home during this time.

Two years ago: protective orders expired and Defendant did not renew.

11/8/08: Case reviewed - The victim arrived home intoxicated from a party around 3 a.m. Defendant and victim began fighting and around 6 a.m. the Defendant stabbed the victim’s lower back twice with a three inch folding knife.

**Findings and Recommendations:**

This case provided a review of a chronic domestic violence relationship between mutually abusive partners. This incident involved the wife stabbing the husband during a violent episode by the husband. The husband denied being stabbed by the wife, and claimed it was someone else. Both had extensive involvement with agencies for victim and offender services over their 21-year relationship.

The Team noted the presence of children during the incident and in the home where there was chronic domestic violence. The Team also noted the effects on the children in that the children of the couple are now also involved in the criminal justice system, one male child has been convicted of assaulting his girlfriend. The Team discussed the feasibility of earlier intervention by Child Protective Services ("CPS") in these situations. However, as was noted by one Team member, CPS is limited to intervention in cases in which physical abuse or neglect of the child is alleged. A Team Member spoke to a CPS representative and was advised that they may be able to get involved, however, when the child is present and in the middle of, or has to intervene in the abusive incident or a weapon is involved and the child is placed in danger of harm.

The Team also noted that one of the interventions put in place as a result of this incident was that the parties not reside together. However, the female offender violated that condition of probation within two (2) weeks of her release from the Calvert County Detention Center and is currently serving an 18 month sentence for the violation. The Department of Parole and Probation had sought to transfer the female’s probation to another State in an effort to relocate and separate the parties upon the female offender’s release, but that effort was not successful in that the receiving State (and military base) would not accept the female offender. The female offender is currently receiving counseling while at the Calvert County Detention Center. At the suggestion of the Team, a request was made and the Court ordered an additional mental health evaluation upon the offender’s release. Suggestions were solicited regarding interventions to take upon the females release from the Detention Center. Since the parties have been involved in and received all available services over their 21-year relationship, the only strategy suggested at this point is to strictly enforce the condition that the parties not reside together in an effort to keep another incident from occurring.
CASE #2: Near-fatal beating. Male perpetrator (age 45), Male victim (age 46), female victim (age 29)

Time line of Events:

2002: The defendant and female victim met when they were next door neighbors in WV. He lost his home and female victim let him move in with her, and they helped each other out with the bills. Female victim makes it clear to the Defendant that she was not interested in a sexual relationship with him.

June 2003: Defendant is pushing to have a relationship with female victim. Female victim once again makes it clear that she is not interested in having a relationship.

August 2003: Both Defendant and female victim moved to Colorado to live with victim’s sister. Defendant begins telling people that he and female victim are married. Female victim and Defendant argue about the Defendant’s lying and also argue about how female victim doesn’t want a relationship. These arguments begin to escalate. On one occasion, Defendant tells her that he might as well rape her and get it over with.

May 2004: Defendant moved to Maryland to live with male victim. Male victim asks Defendant to come live with him so that Defendant can get away from female victim. Defendant is supposed to send money back to female victim.

September 2004: Female victim moved to Maryland to live with male victim. The two victims are dating. Defendant is angry about this and cuts up female victim’s bras and underwear, cuts the phone cords and begins threatening to kill both of the victims.

October 2004: Defendant is asked to leave the home. Defendant begins stalking both victims and making threats to kill them. Victims never took the threats seriously. While female victim is packing up Defendant’s stuff, she finds a hatchet under his pillow. When confronted, Defendant states that he took the hatchet away from female victim’s daughter.

6/23/2005: Case reviewed -Defendant walks in on the victims engaged in sexual intercourse. Hits male victim in the head with a baseball bat and tries to strangle female victim with his hands and her bra.
Findings and Recommendations:

In this case, the offender wanted and fantasized that he and the female were involved in an intimate relationship. However, the two were only friends and at one time, roommates. The male victim was the female’s boyfriend at the time. The Team discussed that there were a number of warning signs leading up to the near-fatal incident. For example, the offender had made prior threats to kill both victims and had cut up the female victim’s bras and underwear. It appeared from the review that not only the female victim was aware of these warning signs, but also her sister and mother. However, the female victim did not make any reports or seek any intervention from any agencies prior to the incident. As a result of this, the Team discussed increasing public awareness about stalking and warning signs to look for, and where to go for help. The Chair drafted a letter to the local papers on the topic during October (Domestic Violence Awareness Month), but the letter was not published in the papers. The Team also discussed increasing first responders’ awareness of stalking and warning signs, so that the early warning signs are not overlooked. The topic is being included in training that is currently being conducted with patrol deputies at the Calvert County Sheriff’s Office. In addition, the State’s Attorney’s Office, Calvert Investigative Team and Crisis Intervention - Sheriff’s Office Liaison recently received stalking training.
CASE #3: Near-fatal Shooting. Male perpetrator (age 37), female victim (age 34), 2 child victims (ages 12 & 14).

Time line of Events:

1/4/94: First child between Defendant and victim born
2/26/95: Arrested for battery against the victim. Nolle Prossed.
11/9/00: Charged with second degree assault and deadly weapon with intent to injure. All of these charges involved the victim. Both charges placed on stet docket.
6/18/03: Second child between Defendant and victim born
7/22/06: Case reviewed - Defendant tried to shoot his girlfriend and his girlfriend’s son (not defendant’s biological child) but the gun misfired. Defendant was drunk and angry that the victim’s two sons were allowed to go the movies although they were on restriction. Defendant didn’t believe the family respected his rules. Defendant convicted of two counts of second degree assault in November of 2008 and sentenced to ten years, with all but seven suspended. Once released, supervised probation for four years.

Findings and Recommendations:

One of the findings by the Team in this case was the need for early intervention and services for children who are witnesses to and/or victims of a fatal or near-fatal domestic violence incident. It was noted that the mother reported that both of the children involved in this incident have had significant difficulty processing and handling the near-fatal incident involving their mother, and for one of the children, his biological father, and for the other child, his father-figure. The Team recommended that agencies be more proactive in getting the victim and children into counseling as soon as possible after the event. Since this incident in 2006, one of the ways this is being addressed is through the Lethality Assessment program. Responding officers are putting victims into contact with counselors as soon as possible at the scene of the incident. A recommendation was made that when there are children present, the responding officer, as part of the Lethality Assessment, let the counselor know so that the children’s needs may be addressed as soon as possible.
CASE #4: Suicide-by-cop. Male (age 42)

Time line of Events:

July 2000: Defendant’s daughter dies in car accident
8/10/00: Defendant charged with second degree assault on wife
*arrested and in jail from 8/10/00 - 8/14/00
8/11/00: Wife files for Protective Order
8/18/00: Final Protective Order granted (by consent)
9/28/00: Violation of Protective Order
10/5/00: Letter to Court from Janet Scott expressing concerns about defendant’s behavior
10/7/00: warrant issued on Violation of Protective order
*served with warrant and held at CCDC
12/8/00: Wife files petition for Contempt on Protective Order
12/20/00: Defendant found guilty on second degree assault, PSI ordered, held without bond; Violation of Protective order was placed on the stet docket
3/5/01: Sentenced on assault - 3 years DOC suspend all but credit for time served from 1/7/01 - 3/5/01, Defendant released and placed on 3 years supervised probation a condition of which is to live with his brother
Wife referred to Safe Harbor
3/6/01: Protective order case reopened on petition for contempt of 12/8/00
Show cause issued - returned unserved on 3/8/01
3/7/01: Wife files motion to modify/suspend visitation until psychiatric test completed
3/7/01: Request for warrant filed by Parole and Probation on criminal case after verified defendant not residing with brother
3/8/01: warrant issued
3/9/01: shooting occurred

Findings and Recommendations:

The Team noted that there was no prior documented history of domestic violence between the parties prior to what appeared to be the triggering event, the death of the parties’ daughter in a car accident a month prior to the first reported domestic assault on the wife. The Team also noted, that after that event, there was a rapid succession of events and warning signs. The offender was involved in Abuser Intervention and was exhibiting bizarre behaviors. His wife sought and received a Protective Order after the abusive incident and the offender violated the Protective Order a little over a month after the final order was granted. It was also noted that in 2001, when this case occurred, even though there was not at that time a formal domestic violence unit and formal agency coordination as there is now, all of the agencies involved recognized the situation as potentially lethal and communicated and coordinated among each other. It was noted that the offender served about 5 months in jail for the assault (which was the first assault conviction and there did not appear to be serious physical injury to his wife). The shooting occurred within 4 days of his release.
The Team discussed intervention when mental health issues are involved and the frustration that currently, pre-trial, the judge can only order a mental evaluation for competency to stand trial and criminal responsibility for the offense, not necessarily for dangerousness. Only once a person is convicted can the judge order a full pre-sentence mental health evaluation. A suggestion was made that in some cases the police can get an emergency petition upon the perpetrator’s release providing other information is available. However, it was also noted that the hospital is not likely to keep the offender for very long and that practice still may not address the underlying issue of diagnosing and treating the mental health problem and trying to control the offender to minimize the risk to others while the problem is being treated.

The Team also noted that there was a gap in time from the date of the violation of the protective order, which was September 28, 2000, and the issuance of a warrant by the Commissioner for the violation, which was not until October 7, 2000. CIT reported that this gap has been closed in recent years and would not occur today. In 2000, the Commissioners were not available full-time and the deputies are much more vigilant today about violations and walking through the paperwork to get the warrant right away.
PART V. CONCLUSION

It is the sincere hope of the Calvert County Fatality Review Team that this report will be instrumental in some recommendations being adopted resulting in changes in the way the community responds to family violence. Most of the recommendations made during the 2009 Case Review have already been put in place by agencies in response to issues that arose during the handling of the cases.

Key findings in this year’s reviews illustrate the importance of inter-agency communication and a coordinated community response to domestic violence, especially in high-risk cases. Public awareness and education is an integral part of any community response, and the Team found that there is still a need for further public education about domestic violence, particularly in the area of stalking and recognizing potentially lethal warning signs. Another key finding was the effect of domestic violence on children who are present in chronic domestic violence relationships, as witnesses and sometimes, as victims. The Team found that it is imperative to address children’s needs in these situations by encouraging counseling and making services available as soon as possible after a traumatic event.

The Team will continue to look for ways to improve agency and community response to domestic violence and increase awareness within the community of the problem of domestic violence and the available programs and agencies available to assist victims.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Jennifer L. Morton
Chair
Calvert County Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team
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1. Mission Statement

The mission of the Calvert County Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team (DVFRT) is to reduce the incidence of domestic violence, to prevent the occurrence of domestic violence fatalities, and to improve the quality of life for victims of domestic violence and their families. The team will pursue its mission by committing itself to find the antecedent causes of domestic violence fatalities or near fatalities, such as identifying gaps in service, by seeking to improve the coordinated community response to domestic violence, by holding abusers accountable for their actions, by recommending improvements in the criminal justice and civil systems that serve victims of abuse, by educating county institutions and citizens in the manner they should view domestic abuse, and by seeking to ensure compliance with its recommendations. In carrying out its mission of heightened community response, Calvert County Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team hopes to free victims of domestic abuse and their families from the cycle of violence and empower them to pursue their lives without the control that is the stigma of abuse.\(^1\)

2. Purpose of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review

a. Primary Purpose

The primary purpose of domestic violence fatality review in Calvert County is to review deaths in which domestic violence has played a role, with the ultimate intent to prevent future fatal occurrences. The review process is aimed at creating a climate in which institutions and individuals in Calvert County will commit themselves to an enhanced response to domestic abuse as a societal evil and a crime, and to victims that they might pursue a better quality of life.

b. Approach

(1) Fatality review is intended to be a nonjudgmental, constructive assessment of events preceding a domestic violence fatality and shall not serve as a forum for placing blame on any agency, organization, or individual. It is a prerequisite of the review process that all team representatives keep before them the unalterable fact that fatalities are the underlying responsibility of the perpetrator.\(^2\)

(2) The review process seeks to enlighten those who are not truly aware of the devastating nature of domestic violence, to give victims a better understanding of the danger that surrounds them and to enable them to plan for their well-being more effectively and with greater circumspection, to improve the awareness, education, sensitivity, caution, proactivity, and communication among coordinated community responders.\(^3\)
3. Team Structure

a. Authorization for Formation

There is no statutory authorization for the formation of a DVFR.T in the State of Maryland. The Calvert DVFR.T has been formed under the auspices of the Crisis Intervention Center and through the voluntary participation of the invited agencies and organizations and their respective team representatives. Invitation to participate was extended by the Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence, which was awarded a Violence Against Women grant to establish a DVFR.T, and the Crisis Intervention Center, which has assumed leadership of the DVFR.T because of its interest in going forward with domestic violence fatality review.

b. Lead Agency

1. The “Lead Agency” is that which will oversee the operation of the DVFR.T, maintain the Domestic Violence Fatality Review File, and monitor the DVFR.T’s compliance with its protocol.

2. The lead agency may not influence the case review deliberations of the DVFR.T.

3. The lead agency will serve generally as an advisor to the DVFR.T, provide a recorder for review meetings, make recommendations to the DVFR.T concerning its operations, appoint a supervisor of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review File if the chairperson is not a member of the lead agency, and advise the DVFR.T if it fails to comply with its protocol.

4. The Crisis Intervention Center is the lead agency until such time as it would relinquish its position or the DVFR.T designates another agency/organization which accepts the position.

c. Administration

(1) Staffing

Through September 30, 2005, the DVFR.T will be staffed by the MNADV. Following that, staffing will be on a voluntary basis by member agencies/organizations, or on a hire basis as funds may become available.

(2) Funding

Funding for staff and staff work for the DVFR.T will be from the MNADV VAWA grant through September 30, 2005. Following that, the DVFR.T will seek funding sources as it determines.
(3) Address

The DVFRRT will be housed in the Crisis Intervention Center, 975 Solomons Island Road, Prince Frederick, MD 20678. Mail should be sent in care of Janet R. Scott, Chair, Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team."

(4) Meeting Sites

Meetings will take place at sites determined by the DVFRRT.

d. Membership

(1) Member Agencies/Organizations

The following are the member agencies/organizations of the DVFRRT:
- County Sheriff’s Office
- Maryland State Police
- County Department of Social Services
- County Health Department Crisis Intervention Center
- County Health Department Safe Harbor Shelter
- Maryland Division of Parole and Probation
- County State’s Attorney’s Office
- Domestic Violence Coordinating Council
- Calvert Memorial Hospital
- Naval Criminal Investigations Section, Patuxent Naval Air Station
- Southern Maryland Center for Family Advocacy
- Circuit Court
- Survivor(s) of domestic violence

(2) Designation of Primary and Alternate Representatives

Each member agency/organization will designate a primary and alternate representative, if possible. Both the primary representative and the alternate are encouraged to attend DVFRRT meetings together.

(3) Appointment of New Member Agencies/Organizations

(a) Agencies, organizations, or individuals may be added as members by consensus or, barring that, by approval of a simple majority of the voting membership.

(b) New member agencies/organizations will be asked to sign a letter of agreement that requires the member agency/organization to cooperate with other member agencies/organizations in seeking to fulfill the mission of the DVFRRT. However, the DVFRRT may invite non-signing members. (See Appendix 1 for Letter of Agreement and Cooperation.)
(4) Criterion for Designation of Member Agency/Organization Representatives

Member agencies/organizations are urged to appoint representatives who have an awareness of domestic violence and a desire to fulfill the mission of the DVFRT.

e. Leadership

(1) Designation of Leadership Positions

Leadership of the DVFRT will be comprised of a chairperson and vice-chairperson, who will lead meetings in the absence of the chairperson.\footnote{11}

(2) Regular Elections

(a) Election of the chairperson and vice chairperson will be by a simple majority of the voting representatives in attendance at the meeting in which a vote is taken.

(i) One vote is allowed per member agency/organization. Accordingly, two representatives in attendance at a meeting in which an election is held may cast only one vote, with the primary representative serving as the voter. In the absence of the primary representative, the alternate may cast the member agency/organization’s vote.

(ii) All nominations will be seconded.

(iii) All ballots will be recorded in private, unless the DVFRT agrees to a voice vote.

(iv) Separate votes for chairperson and vice-chairperson will be taken.

(v) The chairperson and vice chairperson will be the primary representative of their agency or organization.

(b) Representatives may place their own names or the names of another representative in nomination.

(c) In order to be elected, a representative must be in attendance at the meeting, unless a circumstance acceptable to a simple majority of the voting representatives present at the meeting, is presented.

(d) In order to serve, elected officers must accept nomination and election and agree to the terms of service.
(3) **Interim Elections**

(a) If an office requires replacement, an interim election will be held.

(b) Election of interim officers will follow the same procedures as for the election of regular officers.

(4) **Election Schedule**

Regularly scheduled elections will be held every two years during the January meeting of odd years to become effective after the meeting.

(5) **Service**

(a) Elected officers will serve in their elected capacities as chairperson and vice-chairperson for two years and may be reelected.

(b) Interim officers will serve until the next regularly scheduled election.

(6) **Removal for Cause**

An elected or appointed representative may be removed from his/her position for cause, upon a vote of three-fourths of the voting representatives.

**f. Case Screening Committee**

In determining which cases match the DVFRt’s scope of review, the DVFRt will have a Case Screening Committee, comprised of the chairperson, vice-chairperson, representatives from the County Investigative Team, including the Sheriff’s Office, Maryland State Police, and State’s Attorney’s Office, and any other DVFRt members who wish to participate.

**g. Recorder**

(1) A staff member from the lead agency will be appointed to serve as recorder of the DVFRt meetings.

(2) The primary duties of the recorder will be to prepare the minutes during the open part of DVFRt meetings; record notes pertaining to the individual case reviews during the closed part of DVFRt meetings; and to finalize the minutes and notes following the meeting.

(2) The recorder will sign the Recurrent Confidentiality Agreement at the beginning of each case review meeting to signal his/her agreement to abide by the rules of confidentiality set out for DVFRt members.
(4) If the staff person appointed as recorder is unable to be at a meeting, a representative from the DVFRT may be appointed as a substitute recorder.

h. Records

(1) Establishment and Designation of File

(a) Records initiated by the DVFRT will be maintained and secured by the lead agency in the "Domestic Violence Fatality Review File."

(b) The file will consist of all records that are generated by the DVFRT and related to the work of the DVFRT such as minutes of meetings, notes concerning reviews, correspondence, completed form letters and agreements, professional articles, journals, and developments concerning domestic violence fatality review.

(2) Maintenance and Supervision of File

(a) All records and forms initiated by and related to the DVFRT will be housed in a secure location in the lead agency.

(b) The Domestic Violence Fatality Review File will be securely maintained under the supervision of a staff member appointed by the head of the lead agency.

(i) If the chairperson is a member of the agency, he/she will be the supervisor of the file.

(iii) The supervisor of the file, whether the chairperson or a staff member, will sign a "Confidentiality Agreement by File Supervisor." (See Appendix 2 for Confidentiality Agreement by File Supervisor.)

(3) Release of File Records

(a) No record in the file may be released without the authorization of the chairperson, or vice-chairperson or head of the lead agency in the chairperson’s absence when the decision concerning the release cannot reasonably wait until the chairperson’s return.

(b) The officer or agency head releasing the records will require the recipient of the records to sign the "receipt for Records" form, and the two will mutually agree on a date of return that will be noted on the form. (See Appendix 3 for Receipt for Records.)
(4) Retention of File Records

(a) Records in this file, except for historical and reference materials, will be retained for three years after the review associated with a particular case. Historical records should be permanently retained. Reference materials may be retained for as long as they remain useful.

(b) After the three-year period, the records may be destroyed upon the authorization of the chairperson.15

(5) Transfer of File

If the designated lead agency changes, the Domestic Violence Fatality Review File will be transferred to the new lead agency, which will be responsible for designating a supervisor and secure site for maintenance of the file.

4. Scope of Reviews

a. The DVFRT will review any adult fatality, whether a homicide or suicide involving a victim and/or perpetrator or third parties, or near-fatality, that has domestic violence as an involved factor. Domestic violence, for purposes of a review, is defined as emotional or physical abuse perpetrated by a person against another person with whom the perpetrator has or has had an intimate relationship or with whom the perpetrator resides or has resided.16

b. For a fatality or near-fatality to be reviewable, the criminal case must have been finally adjudicated in the courts and/or the investigation of a suicide must be closed.17

5. Preparation for Meetings

a. Gathering Information

(1) Case Screening

(a) In determining which cases match the DVFRT’s scope of review, the DVFRT Case Screening Committee (CSC) will meet at least four weeks before the scheduled meetings of the DVFRT to determine which cases have domestic violence markers and should be reviewed by the DVFRT. All DVFRT representatives will be notified of the date, time, and location of the CSC meeting.
(b) When the Case Screening Committee meets, the County Investigative Team will present all homicide, suicide, and near-fatal cases to the committee so that the committee can examine the cases to determine those which qualify for review by the DVFRT. The committee may also review media and newspaper reports and query the Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence, which tracks domestic fatalities, to be better assured that it has properly reviewed the police cases and included all qualifying cases for the DVFRT review.

(c) When the committee has determined which cases the DVFRT will review, the chairperson will submit the victims’ names to the team representatives, at least three weeks prior to the DVFRT review, so that the representatives may research agency/organization files to determine what, if any, records and/or other information they may have on the victims that can be disclosed to the DVFRT.

(d) Once cases have been identified, the CSC will seek to determine whether any family or non-family individuals have information beneficial to a case review. If such individuals are identified, the CSC will appoint representatives to contact them and determine whether interviews are appropriate. (Refer to Section 5-d for further information.)

(e) All decisions of the CSC will be by consensus or, failing that, by a simple majority vote of the appointed representatives and any other participating representatives. The CSC may meet if only two members, including a representative from the County Investigative Team, can be present, in which case all decisions must be unanimous.

(2) Case-related Materials

Upon notification by the chairperson of cases that will be reviewed, individual team members will identify records pertinent to the case and will bring to the meeting those records that can be made available for the team review. (See Appendix 4 for specific case-related materials.)

(3) Recognizing Barriers to Obtaining Information

(a) There will be barriers to obtaining all the information that the DVFRT needs to make the most informed findings and the most effective recommendations. In a voluntary process, many of the barriers will be more difficult than usual to overcome. However, it is important that the DVFRT recognize what the barriers are in order to overcome them or to move forward to acquire what it can.
(b) The most common barriers are:
- Confidentiality/privilege
- Statutory restrictions
- Professional ethical requirements
- Agency/organization policies
- Fear of liability or self-incrimination
- Personal resistance from individuals due to:
  - grief
  - lack of trust
  - invasion of privacy
  - guilt/denial
  - media exposure
- Missing/incomplete/altered records
- Inadequate or untrained staff
- Difficulty in finding information by name or time lapses
- Lack of subpoena power
- Lack of releases of information by victims
- Lack of standardized data collection
- Lack of standardized number systems
- Victim-blaming
- Turf protection
- Domestic violence issues not recognized or understood
- Personal relationship of system players
- Sealing/expunging of records related to domestic violence
- Misdemeanors
- Sources of information unknown or no longer available.19

b. Case Profile

A case profile can be developed that includes background, information concerning the involvement of agencies or organizations, related policies and procedures, what services were provided, and what were the results of the various referrals, interventions, encounters, and services. The profile serves as a guide for individual representatives to answer questions and to gather records and information that are relevant to their agency’s/organization’s aspect of the review, and to prepare themselves for the case review. The following are questions that should be answered in developing a case profile:

(1) Background

- What was the nature and history of the violence and abuse between the victim, perpetrator, and children?
- What were the circumstances surrounding the fatality?
- Who knew of suspected family or intimate partner violence, including families, agencies, organizations, and others such as neighbors, friends, and co-workers? How did they know?
- What actions were taken or not taken as a result of those contacts or awareness/suspicions of domestic violence?
What information was available to each agency or organization involved in the case?
- Were danger assessments taken, what were the scores, and what actions were taken in light of the scores?
- What was the score of the post- lethality assessment?
- What is the victim’s medical/behavioral history?
- What is the perpetrator’s medical/behavioral history?
- What is the victim/perpetrator history for substance abuse?

(2) Agencies Involved

- Which agencies (to mean any agency, organization, or other institution) had contact with the victim and perpetrator in the case?
- Which agencies had contact with the children, co-workers, and others affected in the case?
- Did any criminal justice or civil agency have contact with the victims or perpetrators? Were there any contacts for assistance and protection (victim, perpetrator, other family members or concerned individuals)? Detail circumstances: 911, hotline, and requests for services.
- What was the extent of involvement (if any) of the parties involved with the legal system and other related community services agencies?
- What interagency communication/collaboration was initiated in response to the case?

(3) Policies and Procedures

- What do reviews of various agency policies, procedures, trainings, records, and practices reveal? Are written policies and procedures in place?
- Were all the current written policies and procedures complied with?
- What are the “best practice” procedures? How do these compare with those developed by other communities?
- Are current policies and procedures adequate? If not, how could they be improved?
- Were relevant statutes concerning domestic violence, protective and/or peace orders, stalking, firearms, etc, enforced?

(4) Services Provided

- What services were offered/provided/declined?
- When did services and interventions occur?
- What does an event time line tell the team?
- What other services could have been utilized?
(5) Outcomes

- What were the barriers to obtaining services for the victim, perpetrator and children?
- What were institutional barriers, e.g., language and cultural?
- Were statutes a barrier to assistance or prevention?
- What were the barriers to interagency communications?
- Did the enforcement of statutes appear or prove to have created greater risk to the victim, heighten or exacerbate an already dangerous situation, or bring the event to the fatal outcome?
- What specific interventions could have resulted in better outcomes?
- What kind of prevention strategies flow from the interventions identified?
- Were there any other significant recommendations?
- Does the team have all pertinent information it needs to complete a full review?²⁰

c. Time Line.

The CSC will establish a written time line for each case that will be brought to the team for review. The time line should be prepared on paper large enough for the team to visually refer to as it reviews the case.²¹

d. Post Danger Assessment

The CSC or, if interviews are conducted, representatives conducting the interviews will conduct a post Danger Assessment to determine as best as possible after the fact at what level of danger the victim was and what signs existed prior to the fatality. The Danger Assessment will be conducted from the perspective of the CSC or the various individuals giving interviews.²² (See Appendix 5 for Danger Assessment.)

e. Involvement of Other Individuals or Entities in the Review Process, Including Surviving Family Members

(1) Determining Significant Persons Associated with the Victim and Who Should Be Interviewed

(a) As part of the preparation for the team meeting, the CSC should determine who (individuals, agencies, or organizations) had contact with the involved parties and with significant persons associated with the parties, such as children, other family members, friends, or co-workers; what the extent of the contact was; whether there were any interventions by agencies; and whether there was any interagency communication or collaboration involving the parties.
(b) Individuals designated as persons having information beneficial for
a team review will be contacted by the representative assigned by
the CSC. The representative will request and, if granted, conduct
an interview.

(c) In the case of non-family members, the representative will consult
with the CSC which will determine whether the individual’s
appearance before the DVFRT would be helpful to the review.

(i) If the CSC so determines, the representative will extend an
invitation to the individual to appear.

(ii) Whether or not the individual appears, the representative
who conducted the interview will also report on the
interview and his/her assessment of the individual’s
knowledge about the case, during which time the individual
will be excused from the review room.

(2) Involvement of Family Members

(a) The involvement in the review process of surviving family
members is an important consideration because it is so dynamic and
will change with each involved family. Whether or not the family
will be involved, the CSC will proceed on several levels.

(b) The CSC will seek to identify a family member or members who
should be contacted. The representative assigned by the CSC will
contact the identified member(s) and advise the family member(s)
what the DVFRT is and that it will review the circumstances and
events leading up to the victim’s death. The representative will ask
the family member(s) what his/her thoughts are about the review,
ask him/her to discuss it with other family members, if appropriate,
and request that the family member or another family member
acting on behalf of the family contact the representative to offer the
family’s views on the matter.

Note: It is intended that the identification of appropriate family
members to contact should be flexible. The CSC or the DVFRT is
seeking to identify family members who would offer the DVFRT
information that would benefit the review process. If identification
of such appropriate family members is obtained through other
means, the CSC or the DVFRT should consider that option.

(c) If the family is amenable to the process, a request will be made to
conduct interviews with various family members whom the CSC
has identified as being knowledgeable about the victim’s
circumstances or who might be recommended by the family
member.
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(i) At that time, the representative will explain to the family member the process that will occur, including information about disclosure, and provide the family member with a brochure that explains the DVFRT process. (See Appendix 6 for CDVFRT Brochure.)

(ii) If approved by the family members who will be interviewed, interviews will be arranged and conducted by the representative.

(d) Family members may be invited to participate in the victim’s review, if appropriate. The DVFRT representative(s) who interviewed the family members will also provide reports and assessments of their interviews, during which time the family members will be excused from the review room.

(e) Following the review a letter will be sent to the family, unless the family requests that no correspondence be sent. The letter will:

(i) Advise the family that the review has been completed;

(ii) Convey the DVFRT’s gratitude for the family’s cooperation, if the family was involved in any capacity with the review;

(iii) Advise the family that a copy of the annual report will be sent upon request; and

(iv) Express the hope that the review of the victim’s death will help to reduce domestic violence in the county.

(f) The family may also be referred for services, as requested or determined to be necessary or helpful by the DVFRT.23

(3) Interviews and Disclosure Prior to Conducting Interviews

(a) Unless the CSC decides otherwise, it will assign interviews to team representatives who are domestic violence counselors or advocates by profession.24 (See Appendix 7 for “Guide Questions for Interviews of Victim’s Family Members and Other Individuals.”)

(b) Interviews will be documented in a form suitable for presentation to the DVFRT.

(c) The CSC will also assign an accompanying representative to serve as a witness and to provide his/her interpretation of the interview with the DVFRT during the review of the case.
Recognizing that such interviews may be an emotional experience for the person giving the interview and may reveal sensitive information, prior to conducting the interview with a family or community member, the representative will inform the person that:

(i) The representative will prepare a written report of the interview for presentation to the DVFRT, and should that document ever be subpoenaed or otherwise legally requested, the DVFRT would be required to surrender the requested document; and

(ii) Anything the person tells the representative would be disclosable, for example, if the representative were ever subpoenaed to testify in a civil case, if the media obtained information from the DVFRT, or if new information relevant to the criminal investigation of the case or any other case were revealed, or if there were a legal or ethical obligation to disclose.23

(e) Before beginning the interview the representative will:

(i) Give a copy of the “Authorization to Give Interview” to the person to read;

(ii) Explain the contents of the authorization form for the person, and clarify any information that the person does not clearly understand, and then be satisfied that the person does clearly understand the contents of the authorization form, and

(iii) Obtain the signature of the person on the authorization form signifying whether the person wishes to grant or not to grant the interview. If the person declines to grant the interview and does not wish to sign the form, that is the person’s prerogative. (See Appendix 8 for “Authorization to Give Interview.”)24

f. Convening of Meetings

(1) The chairperson will convene meetings of the DVFRT in January, April, July, and October. Scheduled meetings may be suspended canceled if cases are not available for review or for other good cause, or cases under review may be continued as the team determines.

(2) Special sessions of the DVFRT may be convened if the chairperson or individual representatives believe there is a need for the team to meet.
(3) A need to meet may be based on new information about a case that has already been reviewed that affects the team’s findings and/or recommendation, the lack of compliance with the team’s recommendations that requires an immediate intervention with the involved agency/organization, or a request of any representative for a reasonable cause.

g. Confidentiality of Proceedings

(1) Especially in a voluntary setting in which the DVFRT exists, it is key to the successful operation of the review process that team member agencies/organizations and representatives are able to have trust in one another. Not all member agencies/organizations and representatives can or should be expected to proceed into a new process with that trust already established or assumed. Accordingly, to build an environment of confidence, all member representatives will sign a confidentiality agreement at the outset of their participation in the DVFRT. (See Appendix 9 for “Confidentiality Agreement by Representative.”)²⁷

(2) To ensure that confidentiality is observed, each team meeting will include an acknowledgment of the confidentiality agreement that each representative signed. The chairperson will thus pass around the same unsigned confidentiality agreement which must be signed by all in attendance. This agreement signed by all in attendance also serves as the attendance roster for that meeting. (See Appendix 10 for “Recurrent Confidentiality Agreement.”)²⁸

(3) Before proceeding the chairperson will review the signed agreement to ensure that all in attendance have signed the form and will notify the team that all in attendance signed the letter.²⁹

(4) The chairperson will maintain a confidentiality file which will include all originals of the “Confidentiality Agreement by Representative” and the “Recurrent Confidentiality Agreement.”

(5) A breach of confidentiality constitutes cause for removal from the team.³⁰

6. Review Team Meetings

a. Rules for Meetings

(1) Meetings may not proceed without a quorum. A quorum is constituted when a simple majority of the voting representatives are present.

(2) Meetings will be called to order and presided over by the chairperson.

(3) All matters dealing with the actual review of cases, not including information contained in the annual report after it is released, are strictly confidential.
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(4) Matters dealing with administrative aspects of the meeting or points of order are not confidential.

(5) The chairperson may not table or terminate a discussion without consensus, or failing that, without the concurrence of a simple majority of the voting representatives in attendance.

b. Order of Meetings

DVFRRT meetings will be conducted in the following order:

(1) Call to order.
(2) Quorum call.
(3) Signing of the confidentiality agreement by all representatives in attendance.
(4) Special reports or presentations.
(5) New business.
(6) Old business.
(7) Follow-up on previous recommendations.
(8) Case reviews, findings, and recommendations.
(9) Discussion about release of the annual report (at October meeting).
(10) Evaluation of the review process.
(11) Open forum for further discussion, comments, observations, or questions.
(12) Adjournment.

C. Conducting the Review

(1) Lead Presentation

When the chairperson calls for the particular case, the County Investigative Team will serve as the lead presenter of information which the law enforcement agency has concerning the party(ies), contacts, and events immediately leading up to the fatality. The County Investigative Team presenter will tailor his/her presentation to the questions outlined in the “Case Profile.”
(2) Review of Available Documents

The team representatives will then have an opportunity to review available written documents.

(3) Questions and Discussion

Representatives will next have the opportunity to discuss and ask questions about the case. Close scrutiny will be given to the background, agencies involved, policies and procedures, services provided, outcomes, and the danger assessments.

(4) Findings

Upon completion of the review, the chairperson will ask representatives to offer specific findings. Each finding by the team must be reached by consensus, or, failing that, approval by a simple majority of the voting representatives.

(5) Recommendations

Following the discussion of findings, the chairperson will ask for recommendations. Recommendations must be approved by consensus or, not reaching consensus, by a simple majority of the voting representatives.

(6) Action Concerning Recommendations

With each case that is reviewed, the chairperson will instruct each representative whose agency was involved in a finding and recommendation to take the particular finding(s) and recommendation(s) to the agency head with a request for consideration and action. At the next meeting, and subsequent meetings, if necessary, the representative will provide a report of what, if any, action was taken concerning the recommendation(s).

(7) Conclusion of Review

The review of cases will conclude when the final case has been reviewed and findings and recommendations have been made.

d. Evaluating the Review Process

At each meeting, the DVFRT will have a review of its own protocol and review process to determine if changes are necessary to improve the process.
7. Annual Report

a. Annual Report

A written report will be prepared annually for the meetings held during the calendar year and will be disseminated during the first quarter of the following year.

b. Recording the Team’s Findings and Recommendations

(1) The DVFRF’s annual report will not ascribe findings and recommendations to particular cases. While it may cite the names, dates of death, age, method of death, and relationship of the perpetrator, the report will not lay out the individual circumstances of the fatalities. If circumstances are described, they will not be attributed by name to the cases reflected by the circumstances.

(2) The DVFRF shall make findings concerning significant facts about cases, services, interventions, and events leading up to fatalities.

(3) The DVFRF shall make recommendations to address needed changes and/or initiatives in such areas as agency responsiveness, agency policy and procedures, services, intervention strategies, the law at the local and state level, community education, and training.

c. Format

The DVFRF will prepare the final report in the following format:

(1) An executive summary;

(2) An overview which provides basic information about the DVFRF meetings: dates, times, locations, attendance by name and agency, and number of cases it reviewed;

(3) Findings and recommendations;

(4) Status of prior recommendations;

(5) Other noteworthy actions taken by the DVFRF; and

(6) Appendices, which may include aggregate statistical data.31

d. Final Approval

When the report has been drafted, the chairperson will submit the draft to all representatives and the heads of the member agencies. The chairperson will ask the representatives and agency heads to review the draft and to submit amendments that change factual inaccuracies, grammar, and manner of presentation. No substantive changes to the report may be made.
e. Distribution

(1) The annual report will be distributed to all member agencies/organizations, county and municipal governments, county representatives, the family of victims whose cases were reviewed who request a copy, county media outlets, and other entities that have oversight concerning victim matters, in particular, the Maryland Governor’s Office of Crime Control and Prevention and the National Domestic Violence Fatality Review Initiative.

(2) The report will also be posted on a website determined by the DVFRT.

f. Method of Communicating the Final Report

The chairperson will prepare a cover letter transmitting the annual report.

8. Appointment and Training of New Team Member Agencies/Organizations and/or Representatives

a. Appointment of New Team Member Agencies/Organizations and/or Representatives

(1) The appointment of new agencies (including organizations and individuals) to the DVFRT will be based on the recommendation and justification of a voting representative, and a consensus of the voting representatives. If consensus is not reached, a motion must be made by a voting representative and seconded, and approval must be given by a simple majority of the voting representatives present at the meeting.

(2) The chairperson will invite the newly approved agency, and request the agency head to:

(a) Appoint primary and alternate representatives;

(b) Sign a “Letter of Agreement and Cooperation”; and

(c) Have the representatives sign a “Confidentiality Agreement by Representative.”

b. Training of New Team Member Agencies/Organizations and/or Representatives

The chairperson will send new member representatives and new representatives from current member agencies a copy of the protocol and training materials developed by the DVFRT, and request the new representatives to review these materials before the next meeting.
9. Continuing Education and Training of Team Representatives

a. The DVFR will subscribe to the Fatality Review Bulletin published by the National Domestic Violence Fatality Review Initiative.

b. The DVFR will seek to send representatives to national or state conferences so that reports can be provided to the DVFRR.

c. The DVFR will establish an email group so that the team may make one another aware of new information.

d. The chairperson will provide updates on articles or pertinent information to representatives.

e. When meetings are held, if new information has been published, the chairperson or another representative knowledgeable of the information will provide a report to the rest of the team.

f. With the concurrence of a simple majority of the voting representatives, the chairperson may convene a training session.

10. Resigning or Withdrawing from the Team

a. Primary and alternate representatives may resign from the DVFR by giving notice to the chairperson. The resigning representative will seek, at the time of his/her resignation, to have a replacement and notify the chairperson of the new representative's name. If a replacement's name is not available, the resigning representative will seek to assure the chairperson that his/her replacement will assume the position of primary representative in time enough to prepare adequately for the DVFRR's next meeting, and that the agency will notify the chairperson of the replacement as soon as practicable.

b. Any agency, organization, or individual member of the DVFR may withdraw from the team by notifying the chairperson in writing.

11. Media Relations

a. Establishing a Positive Relationship

Because the media plays an important role in reporting instances of domestic violence and domestic violence fatalities, the DVFR will seek to establish and maintain a positive working relationship with the media and to provide information to the media that will better inform its coverage and provide a connection to domestic violence advocates and others knowledgeable about domestic violence.\[32\]
b. How the Media Can Help

The DVFRT recognizes that the media can aid the team in the fulfillment of its mission by "...publicizing the work products of the team. Very often, teams recommend greater awareness and deeper public understanding of domestic violence, as well as system reforms and additional resources for domestic violence agencies. The media is key to getting the word out and thus promoting needed social change."33

c. Information Exchange

Accordingly, the DVFRT will proactively offer to the press information it considers to be of a public nature and educational, and will seek information from the media that will enhance the review process generally and specifically.

(1) The DVFRT will not willingly provide information it would consider too sensitive for public consumption, such as names of family and community members who were intimately involved in aspects of the victim's life that were related to domestic violence, names of victims in the context of events that would tend to unnecessarily identify family or other community members, certain events that would readily and unnecessarily identify the victim and/or family and other community members, information that the DVFRT would not consider educational or would consider detrimental to the well-being of the victim's family.

(2) Should the press seek information that is of a public nature but that the DVFRT considers too sensitive for public consumption, the chairperson will contact the media and ask it to consider the reasons the DVFRT believes specific information should not be published.

(3) The above conditions notwithstanding, the DVFRT recognizes that, upon a legal request, it likely will be required to release requested documents.

d. Public Information Officer

(1) The chairperson will serve as the public information officer for the DVFRT.

(2) All media contacts concerning any aspect of the DVFRT will be referred to the chairperson, or the vice-chairperson in his/her absence.

(a) If inquiries are made concerning an individual agency, the chairperson will refer the media to the public information officer of that agency.

(b) The chairperson will then notify the agency's public information officer of the referral.
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Endnotes

1. Sections and subsections that are not endnoted were developed by the DVFRT as part of its protocol development process. The development history of individual sections and subsections is contained in the minutes of the meetings maintained by the lead agency.


5. The concept of the “lead agency” was developed before the Anne Arundel County DVFRT development sessions in October 2003 as a means of better insuring the continuity of the team. The lead agency in Anne Arundel was selected by the MNADV because of its interest in the fatality review process. Similarly, the Crisis Intervention Center approached the MNADV about establishing a DVFRT in Calvert, agreed to coordinate the MOU requirements of the grant application process, and agreed to serve as lead agency.

6. Ibid.

7. Since the Crisis Intervention Center currently serves as the lead agency, the mailing address of the DVFRT is the office location.

8. Most of the listed agencies submitted letters of commitment in June 2004 for the VAWA grant application that was awarded to the MNADV for FY 2005. Others were added during the protocol development process between October 2004 and January 2005.

The participation of a survivor or survivors is recommended in “Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews: Recommendations from a National Summit,” Louis W. McHardy and Meredith Hofford, p. 7; by Dr. Neil Websdale and Robin Thompson during a seminar they gave to the Anne Arundel county DVFRT on July 12, 2004; and during the national domestic violence fatality review conference in Del Ray, FL, on September 20-21, 2004. The Anne Arundel DVFRT adopted the various recommendations and agreed to bring a survivor onto the team at its first case review team meeting on September 16, 2004. Calvert followed suit upon the establishment of its team in October 2004.

9. The consensus approach was based on “Family and Intimate Partner Violence: Fatality Review Team Protocol—Second Edition,” Virginia Department of Health, p. 12. Although the Calvert DVFRT adopted a consensus approach, it decided that the reasonable alternative would be a vote of a simple majority of the voting representatives for all decisions by the team with exceptions as noted in the protocol.

11. See "Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews: Recommendations from a National Summit," Louis W. McHardy and Meredith Hofford, p. 6. The Calvert DVFRRT agreed with an MNADV proposal to have a simple leadership structure.

12. In response to Dr. Neil Websdale’s remarks during a seminar he and Robin Thompson presented to the Anne Arundel DVFRRT on July 12, 2004, that the screening process should be more open, the DVFRRT decided to structure the committee through a simple, practical approach: permitting any other representative who wished to attend the committee the authority to do so. The Calvert DVFRRT decided to adopt the same approach. However, due to the investigative structure in place in the county, the sheriff’s office, state police, and state’s attorney’s office form what is called the "County Investigative Team." By agreement during the protocol development process, this team will serve as the case-gathering entity for the Case Screening Committee.

13. The "Confidentiality Agreement by File Supervisor," was proposed by the MNADV to the Anne Arundel DVFRRT to address a protocol for the position of file supervisor which was created in response to the establishment of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review File, both of which were established as part of the DVFRRT development process, January through June 2004. The Calvert DVFRRT decided to adopt the form.

15. The Calvert DVFRRT wanted to establish a record of the release of documents so that it could track outstanding records. Accordingly, the "Records Receipt" form was created.

15. The three-year designation is to be consistent with the usual Maryland records retention period. The Calvert DVFRRT decided to adopt the same retention time frame.

16. This is a broad and generic definition to permit the DVFRRT to review cases where the issue of power and control evidences itself.

17. "Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews: Recommendations from a National Summit," Louis W. McHardy and Meredith Hofford, p. 7: "For many reasons, fatality review teams must consider very carefully the disposition of cases to be reviewed. Summit participants grappled with whether to review open cases, i.e., those that had not been fully adjudicated. Generally, participants agreed that for many reasons—confidentiality, discovery, liability, etc.—closed murder cases or open murder/suicide cases were the most appropriate to review."

"Reviewing Domestic Violence Fatalities: Summarizing National Developments," Neil Websdale, Maureen Sheeran, and Byron Johnson, p 45: "Another key issue is whether to review open or closed cases. Research in Florida reveals that reviewing cases pending prosecution is problematic because the state is unwilling or unable to share information that might compromise a conviction." Virginia, for example, under Virginia Code, § 32.1-283.3 (E), requires that "(T)he review of a death shall be delayed until any criminal investigations or prosecutions connected with the death are completed."

19. Ibid., p. 10.


22. Lethality assessment is mentioned in "Family and Intimate Partner Violence: Fatality Review Team Protocol—Second Edition," p. 8. Nevertheless, the Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence is developing a statewide lethality assessment instrument and protocol and is pursuing both lethality assessment and fatality review simultaneously. The "Danger Assessment" is the instrument that has been pioneered by Dr. Jacquelyn Campbell of Johns Hopkins University and has been adopted by the statewide MNADV Lethality Assessment Committee as the instrument of choice for people and institutions who are experienced in domestic violence and have the luxury of time to administer the tool. It is the proper instrument for the Case Screening committee to use, although it must be adapted so that the questions ask the interviewee or other party what they thought the victim was experiencing. The Danger Assessment is thus rendered from the perspective of another party.

23. Though the procedure concerning family involvement was developed independently by the DVFRF, the DVFRF was guided by the work of "Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews: Recommendations from a National Summit," p. 13, and "Family and Intimate Partner Violence: Fatality Review Team Protocol—Second Edition," Appendix K, p. 35, and also by information from Dr. Neil Websdale and Robin Thompson at a seminar they presented to the DVFRF on July 12, 2004, at the YWCA in Arnold, MD.

24. "Family and Intimate Partner Violence: Fatality Review Team Protocol—Second Edition," Appendix K, p. 35, concerning interviews being conducted by team representatives "experienced in crisis intervention or grief counseling." The CDVFRT, however, decided in its meeting of January 5, 2005, to incorporate an element of flexibility into who should conduct the interviews to provide for occasions when another member or even another individual not on the team would be more appropriate to conduct the interview.

25. "Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews: Recommendations from a National Summit," p. 12: "If, in the course of the review, there is information which may be indicative of a new crime, the team chair is to report it promptly to the most appropriate authority. The team is to decide whether the review should be suspended as a result of these actions."

26. The "Authorization to Grant Interview" was created by the Anne Arundel DVFRF to address concerns about the disclosability of information provided during interviews, as part of its development process, January through June 2004. The Calvert DVFRF adopted the form and amended it and the protocol at its meeting on February 2, 2005, to include the obligation to disclose "legal and ethical" information.


30. "Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews: Recommendations from a National Summit," p. 12: "Any member who violates confidentiality is to be removed from the committee."

31. The Calvert DVFRT used the "Findings and Recommendations from the Washington State Domestic Violence Fatality Review" as a model, with changes, for its report.


33. Websdale, undated quote.
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Letter of Agreement and Cooperation

March 2005
Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team

Letter of Agreement and Cooperation

To the Chairperson and Members of the Calvert County Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team:

I agree that my organization will serve as a full participating and cooperating member of the Calvert County Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team (Review Team).

This agreement means that I will appoint a representative who will serve on the Review Team, will attend and fully participate in all meetings of the Review Team, will prepare for Review Team meetings by reviewing materials forwarded to the representative, and will take to meetings such records that my organization has identified as being pertinent and reviewable by the Review Team. In the event the representative is unable to attend a meeting, I agree that an alternate will also be appointed who will carry out the duties of the representative. I agree that the representative and alternate will have a working knowledge of the dynamics of domestic violence.

I have read the "Protocol for Conducting Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews" and concur in it. I understand the mission and purpose of the Review Team and find them to be consistent with my organization's mission and purpose. Most importantly, I agree with the steps that are taken in the review process to assure the confidentiality of the proceedings, and agree that my representative and alternate will sign the confidentiality agreements drawn up for their purposes and signatures.

I agree to submit written notice to the Chairperson should my agency ever decide to withdraw from the Review Team. Such notice of withdrawal would effectively terminate this agreement.

This agreement becomes effective on the below date. I can request a revision or review of this agreement within 30 days of written notice. Notice of revision of this agreement will be sent to the Chairperson of the Review Team.

Signature: ____________________________________________
Title: ________________________________________________
Organization: _________________________________________
Date: _________________________________________________
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Confidentiality Agreement by File Supervisor

March 2005
Confidentiality Agreement by File Supervisor

I agree to serve as supervisor of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review File for the Calvert County Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team (DVFRT).

I understand that my assignment as file supervisor requires me to:

- Maintain the Domestic Violence Fatality Review File as outlined in the DVFRT protocol;
- Maintain the file in a secure manner and in a secure location inside the DVFRT lead agency;
- Retain all records generated by the DVFRT and related to the work of the DVFRT such as minutes of meetings, notes concerning reviews, correspondence, completed form letters and agreements, professional articles, journals, and developments concerning domestic violence fatality review;
- Organize the file by categories permitting prompt and efficient record retrieval, with subcategories that permit retrieval by the name of decedents;
- Not to release any record in the file without the authorization of the chairperson, or vice-chairperson or head of the agency in the chairperson's absence when the decision concerning the release cannot reasonably wait until the chairperson's return;
- Inspect the file at least once a quarter prior to the next scheduled meeting to ensure the integrity of the file;
- Retain records in the file for three years after the review associated with a particular case, after which time the files related to that particular case may be destroyed. Before destroying any files, however, I understand that I must receive the written authorization of the chairperson, and may only proceed in the manner outlined in the protocol.

I agree to safeguard the Domestic Violence Fatality Review File from unauthorized disclosure. I understand that the only persons who may have access to the file are the chairperson, vice-chairperson, the lead agency head, and myself. A request by any other person, including other representatives of the DVFRT, must be approved by the chairperson, or vice-chairperson or lead agency head in the chairperson's absence when the decision concerning the release cannot reasonably wait until the chairperson's return. I understand and acknowledge that the unauthorized disclosure of confidential records, reports, investigative materials and information may result in civil and criminal liability and removal from my position as supervisor of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review File.

I agree to refrain from representing the views of the DVFRT to the media, and understand and acknowledge that only the chairperson may represent the DVFRT before the media.

Supervisor's Signature ______________________ Printed Name ______________________

Organization ____________________________ Date ______________________

My signature represents my endorsement, as the organization head, of this agreement.

Signature ____________________________ Date ______________________
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•
Receipt for Records

March 2005
Calvert County

Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team

Receipt for Records

I release for temporary use the following records from the Domestic Violence Fatality Review File:

Released by:

Name: ____________________________
Signature: ________________________
DVFR Title: ________________________

Return Date

By initial and agreement of the above two parties, the above released records will be returned to the DVFR Chairperson by ________________________.

Initials of DVFR Representative: ____________________ 6  Initials of Recipient: ___________________
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Case-Related Materials

March 2005
Calvert County

Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team

Case-Related Materials

- Law enforcement reports, including field reports, investigative and supplemental reports, call history, 911 tapes
- National Crime Information Center (NCIC), Maryland Inter-agency Law Enforcement System (MILES), other criminal history records, protective and peace orders
- Court files, including court transcripts of hearings, pleas, and trials, for criminal, civil, family, and juvenile cases
- Mental health records
- Juvenile records
- Adult parole and probation records
- Weapons records
- Shelter/domestic violence provider records
- Court advocate records
- Adult and child protective services records
- Social services records
- Immigration records
- Medical and dental records
- Interviews with perpetrator's former intimate partners
- Information from victim's and perpetrator's families, friends, and co-workers
- Interviews with witnesses and neighbors
- Interviews with medical personnel
- Prosecution records
- Newspaper articles and media stories
- Autopsy reports
- Pre-trial services records
- Abuse intervention services reports
- Landlord or apartment building maintenance and complaint files
- Interviews with security guards
- School records
- Insurance policies
- Records and/or interviews with services such as suicide hotline, child support enforcement, job training programs, legal services
- Animal control reports
- Marriage counseling files
- Interviews with clergy and members of the congregation
- Records and interviews from victim advocates in law enforcement agencies
- Employment records
- Military records
- Adoption records
- Attorney files
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−
Danger Assessment

March 2005
DANGER ASSESSMENT

Jacquelyn C. Campbell, PhD, RN, FAAN
Copyright 2004 Johns Hopkins University, School of Nursing

Several risk factors have been associated with increased risk of homicides (murders) of women and men in violent relationships. We cannot predict what will happen in your case, but we would like you to be aware of the danger of homicide in situations of abuse and for you to see how many of the risk factors apply to your situation.

Using the calendar, please mark the approximate dates during the past year when you were abused by your partner or ex-partner. Write on that date how bad the incident was according to the following scale:

1. Slapping, pushing; no injuries and/or lasting pain
2. Punching, kicking; bruises, cuts, and/or continuing pain
3. "Beating up"; severe contusions, burns, broken bones, miscarriage
4. Threat to use weapon; head injury, internal injury, permanent injury, miscarriage
5. Use of weapon; wounds from weapon
(If any of the descriptions for the higher number apply, use the higher number.)

Mark Yes or No for each of the following.
("He" refers to your husband, partner, ex-husband, ex-partner, or whoever is currently physically hurting you.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>12.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>16.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>18.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>20.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total "Yes" Answers

Thank you. Please talk to your nurse, advocate or counselor about what the Danger Assessment means in terms of your situation.
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Authorization to Give Interview

March 2005
Authorization to Give Interview

A representative of the Calvert County Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team (team) has contacted me to advise me that the team will conduct a review of the death of _______________________. The representative has explained to me how the team conducts reviews of fatalities or near fatalities related to domestic violence. The representative has explained that the information that will be reviewed will be information that is on the public record or information that it obtains through other sources, such as interviews.

The representative has asked me if I would be willing to provide an interview. The representative has explained that the purpose of the interview is to be able to obtain more information that will help the team better determine the events leading up to the death in order to improve the system's response to domestic violence and to prevent future deaths from occurring. The representative has explained that what I say in the interview will be reported by the representative and may be discussed by the team members as part of the review of the case. The representative explained that team members voluntarily sign an agreement not to discuss information that was part of a case review outside of the confines of the review meeting, except as required by state or federal law.

The representative has also explained to me, however, that any information I provide during the interview could possibly be disclosed and become public knowledge. The representative explained that it is not the team's intention to make the contents of the interview public, but explained that some circumstances could arise where the team could not control the release of the information. The representative offered me some examples, such as:

* In a civil case if a subpoena were issued for information contained in the interview, or
* If the media made a legal request for the interview information,
* If new information were disclosed in the interview that changes the investigation of the case or another case, or
* If there were a legal or ethical obligation to disclose the information.

I understand the information that has been explained to me. I understand that any information I provide in an interview could possibly be disclosed and made public. With that information in mind, I choose:

Not to grant an interview: ____________________________ ____________________________ (Date)

To grant an interview: ____________________________ ____________________________ (Date)

Representative: ____________________________ ____________________________ (Date)

Witness: ____________________________ ____________________________ (Date)
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Guide Questions for Interviews of Victim’s Family Members and Other Individuals

March 2005
Guide Questions for Interviews of
Victim's Family Members and Other Individuals

The following questions serve as a guide to an interviewer of a victim’s family members or other individuals who have information beneficial to the domestic violence fatality review process. Many of the questions are taken from the “profile” section of the protocol. They are intended to supplement questions the interviewer may have developed that are pertinent to the particular case, and to provide a full array of potential questions. The interviewer should not feel compelled to ask all the listed questions. The interviewer should ask questions relevant to the particular case and those he or she believes would benefit the review process. The interviewer should reformulate the precise wording of any of the below questions that are used in a manner with which he or she is comfortable and believes will be most understandable to the person being interviewed. Furthermore, through experience, the interviewer should develop a sense of or know when further questioning might be counter-productive.

Questions Concerning Background

- What was the nature and history of the violence and abuse between the victim, perpetrator, and children?
- Was there a history of violence in the perpetrator’s or victim’s families?
- What further information can be provided about the victim’s and perpetrator’s backgrounds? How did they relate personally with others? What were their general demeanor, behavior, and personality? How were they generally viewed by others? Was there anything that stood out or seemed unusual—positive or negative—about any aspects of their personality or behavior?
- What were the circumstances surrounding the fatality?
- What signs were present before the fatality that caused concern?
- Who knew of suspected family or intimate partner violence, including families, agencies, organizations, and others such as neighbors, friends, and co-workers? How did they know? Did the victim ever discuss the violence with anybody?
(Background Questions, continued)

- What actions were taken or not taken as a result of those contacts or awareness/suspicions of domestic violence?
- What is the victim’s/perpetrator’s medical/behavioral history?
- What is the victim’s/perpetrator’s history of substance abuse?

Questions Concerning Agency Involvement

- Which agencies (to mean any agency, organization, or other institution) had contact with the victim and perpetrator in the case?
- Which agencies had contact with the children, co-workers, and others affected in the case?
- Were there any contacts for assistance and protection (victim, perpetrator, other family members or concerned individuals)? Detail circumstances: 911, hotline, and requests for services.
- What was the extent of involvement (if any) of the victim and/or perpetrator with the legal system and other related community services agencies?
- What information was available to agencies involved in the case?
- What interagency communication/collaboration was initiated in response to the case?
- What services were offered/provided/declined?
- When and how frequently did services and interventions occur?
- How responsive were agencies to the domestic violence situation?

Outcomes—Personal Views of the Person Being Interviewed

(Note: Some of the below questions may not be appropriate to ask a person being interviewed. They should only be asked if they clearly relate to the situation and the person seems to have views about them)

- What were the barriers to obtaining services for the victim, perpetrator and children?
- What were institutional barriers, e.g., language and cultural?
- Were statutes a barrier to assistance or prevention?
- What were the barriers to interagency communications?
- Did the enforcement or non-enforcement of statutes appear or prove to have created greater risk to the victim, heighten or exacerbate an already dangerous situation, or bring the event to the fatal outcome?
- What specific interventions could have resulted in better outcomes?
- What other specific actions, if they had taken place, could have perhaps helped to prevent the fatality from occurring?
- Were there any other significant recommendations?
Protocol for Conducting Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews

Appendix 9
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March 2005
Confidentiality Agreement by Representative

I agree to serve as a representative of the Calvert County Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team and to honor a commitment to prepare for, attend, and constructively participate in meetings of the Review Team during my tenure.

I acknowledge that the effectiveness of the fatality review process depends on the quality of trust team members bring to it. I therefore agree that I will not use any material or information obtained during the Review Team meetings for any reason other than that for which it was intended or divulge information discussed during the review of a case, unless such information changes a departmental investigation of the relevant or another case, or for ethical or other obligations.

I further agree to safeguard any records, reports, investigative material, and information I receive from unauthorized disclosure. I will not take any case identifying material from a meeting other than that which originated in the organization I represent. I therefore will not make any copies or otherwise document/record material available in these reviews, including electronically, except for copies of departmental records I take into case review meetings for the purpose of sharing the copies of the records with the other Review Team representatives as part of the review. I understand that I must retrieve all such copies immediately following the case review. I will return all material shared by others at the end of each meeting to the representative of the originating organization.

I understand and acknowledge that the unauthorized disclosure of confidential records, reports, investigative materials and information may result in civil and criminal liability and removal from the Review Team.

Unless specifically authorized to do so by the chairperson, or his/her designee, wherein the chairperson, or his/her designee, sets forth the information and circumstances I may discuss, I agree to refrain from representing the views of the Review Team to the media, and understand and acknowledge that only the chairperson, or his/her designee, may represent the Review Team before the media.

Representative’s Signature __________________________ Printed Name __________________________

Organization __________________________ Date __________________________

My signature represents my endorsement, as the organization head, of this agreement.

Signature __________________________ Date __________________________
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Recurrent Confidentiality Agreement

March 2005
Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team

Recurrent Confidentiality Agreement

Note to Signees: This agreement is being signed by you on the date of the Review Team meetings:

I agree to serve as a representative of the Calvert County Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team and to honor a commitment to prepare for, attend, and constructively participate in meetings of the Review Team during my tenure.

I acknowledge that the effectiveness of the fatality review process depends on the quality of trust team members bring to it. I therefore agree that I will not use any material or information obtained during the Review Team meetings for any reason other than that for which it was intended.

I further agree to safeguard any records, reports, investigative material, and information I receive from unauthorized disclosure. I will not take any case identifying material from a meeting other than that which originated in the organization I represent. I therefore will not make any copies or otherwise disclose/record material available in these reviews, including electronically, except for copies of departmental records I take into case review meetings for the purpose of sharing the copies of the records with the other Review Team representatives as part of the review. I understand that I must retrieve all such copies immediately following the case review. I will return all material shared by others at the end of each meeting.

I understand and acknowledge that the unauthorized disclosure of confidential records, reports, investigative materials and information may result in civil and criminal liability and removal from the Review Team.

Unless specifically authorized to do so by the chairperson wherein the chairperson sets forth the information and circumstances I may discuss, I agree to refrain from representing the views of the Review Team to the media, and understand and acknowledge that only the chairperson may represent the Review Team before the media.

1. Signature
2.  
3.  
4.  
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(2) the level of stipends for displaced homemakers in job training programs under § 4-607(2) of this subtitle;
(3) a sliding fee scale, based on ability to pay, for service programs under § 407(4) of this subtitle; and
(4) any other matter that the Secretary finds necessary to carry out the purposes of this subtitle. (An. Code 1957, art. 88A, § 97; 1984, ch. 298, § 2.)

4-612. Duties of Secretary — Evaluation.

(a) In general. — The Secretary shall:
   (1) evaluate periodically the effectiveness of the job training, employment, and service programs of the center and programs implemented in the center's annual report to the General Assembly and its programs.
   (2) include in the Secretary's annual report to the General Assembly a report of the center and its programs.
(b) Contents of evaluation and report. — The evaluation and report shall include:
   (1) the number of displaced homemakers who participate in job training programs;
   (2) the number of displaced homemakers who are placed in employment;
   (3) the number of displaced homemakers who participate in job training programs or who are placed in employment;
   (4) the number of displaced homemakers who are placed in employment;
   (5) the cost effectiveness of the programs. (An. Code 1957, art. 88A, § 88; 1984, ch. 298, § 2.)

4-613. Powers of Secretary.

(a) Grants. — The Secretary may make grants to nonprofit agencies or organizations to establish and operate any program of the center.
(b) Extension of center or programs. — The Secretary may establish a multipurpose service center in or extend any program of the center to another area of this State.
(c) Delegation of authority. — The Secretary may delegate any of the authority granted to the Secretary under this subtitle to any agency in the Department of Human Resources that the Secretary considers appropriate.

4-701. Definitions.

(a) In general. — In this subtitle the following words have the meanings indicated:
(b) Abuse. — "Abuse" has the meaning stated in § 4-601(b)(1) of this title.
(c) Domestic violence. — "Domestic violence" means abuse occurring between:
   (1) current or former spouses or cohabitants;
(b) Designee. — The members described under subsection (a)(1) through (2) of this section may designate representatives from their departments or offices to represent them on the local team.

(c) Chair. — Each local team shall elect a chair by majority vote from among the members. (2005, ch. 233.)

4-704. Purpose.

(a) In general. — The purpose of a local team is to prevent deaths related to domestic violence by:

(1) promoting cooperation and coordination among agencies involved in:
   (i) investigating deaths related to domestic violence; or
   (ii) providing services to victims of domestic violence, abusers, or surviving family members;
   (2) developing an understanding of the causes and incidence of deaths related to domestic violence in the county; and
   (3) developing plans for and recommending changes within the agencies to members represent.

(b) Methodology. — To achieve its purpose, a local team shall:

(1) establish and implement a protocol for the local team;
   (2) as provided in subsection (c) of this section, review fatalities and cases of serious physical injury related to domestic violence that have occurred in the county;
   (3) meet on a regular basis as determined by the local team, at least monthly, to:
      (i) review the status of domestic violence fatality cases in the county;
      (ii) recommend actions to improve coordination of services and investigations among member agencies; and
      (iii) recommend actions within the member agencies to prevent deaths related to domestic violence;
   (4) provide reports that include recommendations:
      (i) to improve coordination of services and investigations;
      (ii) to implement changes recommended by the local team within member agencies; and
      (iii) on needed changes to State and local law, policy, and practice to prevent deaths related to domestic violence.

(c) Case review. — (1) In accordance with paragraph (2) of this subsection, a local team shall determine the number and types of cases the team will review.
   (2) A local team may review criminal cases only at the conclusion of the case in trial court or after the investigation of a suicide has been closed. (2005, ch. 233.)

4-705. Access to information.

On request of the chair of a local team and as necessary to carry out the local team's purpose and duties under this subtitle, the local team shall be immediately provided:

(a) Access to information and records by a provider of medical care, including dental and mental health care, regarding a person whose death or serious physical injury is being reviewed by the local team; and

(b) access to all information and records maintained by any State or local government agency including birth certificates, law enforcement investigative information, medical examiner investigative information, parole and probation information and records, and information and records of a social services agency that provided services to the person or the person's family. (2005, ch. 233.)

4-706. Closed and public meetings; violations.

(a) Closed meetings. — Meetings of a local team shall be closed to the public and not subject to Title 10, Subtitle 5 of the State Government Article when the local team is discussing individual cases.

(b) Public meetings. — Except as provided in subsection (c) of this section, meetings of a local team shall be open to the public and subject to Title 10, Subtitle 5 of the State Government Article when the local team is not discussing individual cases.

(c) Confidentiality. — (1) Information identifying a deceased person, a family member, or an alleged or suspected perpetrator of abuse may not be disclosed during a public meeting.
   (2) Information regarding the involvement of any agency, organization, or person with a deceased person or the person's family may not be disclosed during a public meeting.
   (d) Requested attendance. — This section does not prohibit a local team from requesting the attendance at a team meeting of a person who has information relevant to the exercise of the team's purpose and duties under this subtitle.

(e) Violations; penalties. — A violation of this section is a misdemeanor and is punishable by a fine not exceeding $500 or imprisonment not exceeding 90 days or both. (2005, ch. 233.)

4-707. Confidentiality.

(a) In general. — Except as provided in subsections (b) and (c) of this section, all information and records acquired by a local team in the exercise of its purpose and duties under this subtitle:

(1) are confidential;

(2) are exempt from disclosure under Title 10, Subtitle 6 of the State Government Article; and

(3) may only be disclosed as necessary to carry out the local team's duties and purposes.

(b) Statistics open to public. — Statistical compilations of data that do not contain any information that would permit the identification of any person to be ascertained are public records.

(c) Certain reports deemed public. — Reports of a local team that do not contain any information that would permit the identification of any person to be ascertained are public information.
(d) Prohibited disclosures by team members and attendees. — Except as necessary to carry out a local team's purpose and duties under this subtitle, members of a local team and persons attending a local team meeting may not disclose:

(1) what transpired at a meeting closed to the public under § 4-706 of this subtitle; or

(2) any information the disclosure of which is prohibited by this section.

e. Team information not available in civil or criminal proceedings; exceptions. — (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection, members of a local team, persons attending a local team meeting, and persons who present information to a local team may not be questioned in any civil or criminal proceeding regarding information presented in or opinions formed as a result of a meeting.

(2) A person may testify to information obtained independently of the local team or that is public information.

(f) Protected information, documents, and records. — (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection, information, documents, and records of a local team are not subject to subpoena, discovery, or introduction into evidence in any civil or criminal proceeding.

(2) Information, documents, and records otherwise available from other sources are not immune from subpoena, discovery, or introduction into evidence through those sources solely because they were presented during proceedings of a local team or are maintained by a local team.

(g) Violations; penalties. — A violation of this section is a misdemeanor and is punishable by a fine not exceeding $100 or imprisonment not exceeding 90 days or both. (2005, ch. 233.)

Editor's note. — See note to § 4-701 of this subtitle.
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DOMESTIC VIOLENCE LETHALITY SCREEN FOR FIRST RESPONDERS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Officer:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Case #:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Victim:</td>
<td>Offender:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Check here if victim did not answer any of the questions.

A "Yes" response to any of Questions #1-3 automatically triggers the protocol referral.

1. Has he/she ever used a weapon against you or threatened you with a weapon? □ Yes □ No □ Not Ans.
2. Has he/she threatened to kill you or your children? □ Yes □ No □ Not Ans.
3. Do you think he/she might try to kill you? □ Yes □ No □ Not Ans.

Negative responses to Questions #1-3, but positive responses to at least four of Questions #4-11, trigger the protocol referral.

4. Does he/she have a gun or can he/she get one easily? □ Yes □ No □ Not Ans.
5. Has he/she ever tried to choke you? □ Yes □ No □ Not Ans.
6. Is he/she violently or constantly jealous or does he/she control most of your daily activities? □ Yes □ No □ Not Ans.
7. Have you left him/her or separated after living together or being married? □ Yes □ No □ Not Ans.
8. Is he/she unemployed? □ Yes □ No □ Not Ans.
9. Has he/she ever tried to kill himself/herself? □ Yes □ No □ Not Ans.
10. Do you have a child that he/she knows is not his/hers? □ Yes □ No □ Not Ans.
11. Does he/she follow or spy on you or leave threatening messages? □ Yes □ No □ Not Ans.

An officer may trigger the protocol referral, if not already triggered above, as a result of the victim's response to the below question, or whenever the officer believes the victim is in a potentially lethal situation.

Is there anything else that worries you about your safety? (If "yes") What worries you?

Check one: □ Victim screened in according to the protocol
☐ Victim screened in based on the belief of officer
□ Victim did not screen in

If victim screened in: After advising her/him of a high danger assessment, □ Yes □ No did the victim speak with the hotline counselor?

Note: The questions above and the criteria for determining the level of risk a person faces is based on the best available research on factors associated with lethal violence by a current or former intimate partner. However, each situation may present unique factors that influence risk for lethal violence that are not captured by this screen. Although most victims who screen "positive" or "high danger" would not be expected to be killed, these victims face much higher risk than that of other victims of intimate partner violence.
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DANGER ASSESSMENT
Jacqueline C. Campbell, PhD, RN, PAAN
Several risk factors have been associated with increased risk of homicides (murders) of women and men in violent relationships. We cannot predict what will happen in your case, but we would like you to be aware of the danger of homicide in situations of abuse and for you to see how many of the risk factors apply to your situation.

Using the calendar, please mark the approximate dates during the past year when you were abused by your partner or ex-partner. Write on that date how bad the incident was according to the following scale:

1. Slapping, pushing; no injuries and/or lasting pain
2. Punching, kicking; bruises, cuts, and/or continuing pain
3. "Beating up"; severe contusions, burns, broken bones, miscarriage
4. Threat to use weapon; head injury, internal injury, permanent injury, miscarriage
5. Use of weapon; wounds from weapon

(if any of the descriptions for the higher number apply, use the higher number.)

Mark Yes or No for each of the following.
("He" refers to your husband, partner, ex-husband, ex-partner, or whoever is currently physically hurting you.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total "Yes" Answers

Thank you. Please talk to your nurse, advocate or counselor about what the Danger Assessment means in terms of your situation.
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DOMESTIC VIOLENCE LETHALITY ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL FOR MARYLAND FIRST RESPONDERS

Developed by the Lethality Assessment Committee
Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence
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# Domestic Violence Lethality Assessment Protocol for Maryland First Responders
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Foreword

Under a Violence Against Women Act grant, the Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence (MNADV) has worked in a cooperative venture with agencies and organizations from across the State to develop a model lethality assessment instrument and protocol for Maryland. The objectives were to provide a tool for first responders that would allow them to gauge the level of danger in a particular situation, and to provide them with a protocol that would permit them to respond according to their assessment.

To develop an assessment instrument and protocol, a Lethality Assessment Committee was assembled, comprised of the following members, who, except for the MNADV representatives, all served in a voluntary capacity, through the good offices of their agencies or organizations:

**Tracy Bahm**
Executive Director
Stalking Resource Center—a program of the National Center for Victims of Crime

**Jacquelyn Campbell, Ph.D., RN**
Associate Dean for Faculty Affairs/Professor
School of Nursing
Johns Hopkins University

**Captain Gregory Carlevaro**
Commander, Domestic Violence Unit
Harford County Sheriff's Office and Maryland Sheriffs' Association representative

**Michael Cogan**
Chief, District Court Division
Assistant State's Attorney
Anne Arundel County

**David Cordle**
Chief Investigator/Witness Security Program Coordinator
State's Attorney Office
Anne Arundel County

**Lee Goldman**
Deputy Director
Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions

**Corporal Jonas Ignatavicius**
Domestic Violence Unit
Anne Arundel County Police Department

**Cara Krulewitch, Ph.D., CNM**
Assistant Professor
School of Child, Women, and Family Health Nursing
University of Maryland--Baltimore

**Dorothy Lennig**
Director
Domestic Violence Legal Clinic
House of Ruth, Baltimore

**Denise McCain**
Executive Director
Family Crisis Center
Prince George's County

**Eugene Morris**
Executive Director
Abused Persons Program of Montgomery County

**Michelle Mueller**
Project Manager
Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence
Additionally, the following served as pilot contacts from the three jurisdictions in which the Lethality Screen and Protocol were tested and also served on the LAC:

Janis Harvey
Executive Director
YWCA
Anne Arundel County

Kate Hall
Former Director, Crisis and Family Support Services
YWCA
Anne Arundel County

Corporal Jonas Ignatavicius
Anne Arundel County Police Department

Mary Howser
Clinical Director
Heartly House
Frederick County

Lieutenant Thomas Chase
Commander, Criminal Investigations Division
Frederick Police Department

Stephanie Dalpra
Executive Director
Spouse Abuse Resource Center (SARC)
Harford County

Susan Fisher
Clinical Director
SARC
Harford County

Captain Gregory Carlevaro
Harford County Sheriff’s Office

The Lethality Assessment Committee developed a “Lethality Screen” for use by first responders, adopted the Danger Assessment by Dr. Jacquelyn Campbell for use by domestic violence professionals in more controlled settings, and drafted a protocol to guide the use of these instruments.
1.0 Introduction
1.1 Issue
1.2 Purpose
1.3 Goals

1.1 Issue

1.1.1 How to Identify and Respond to Situations of Increasing and Potentially Lethal Danger. Domestic violence situations sometimes move toward the increasing likelihood of further and more serious violence, and sometimes toward the death of the victim, the death of the children or others, and/or the suicidal death of the abuser. Recognizing and responding to this phenomenon is not easy for first responders such as law enforcement officers and domestic violence counselors.

1.2 Purpose

1.2.1 To Improve Response to Victims Generally. Through the development and adoption of lethality screening instruments and this protocol, we are attempting to continue to improve the way first responders and the community deal with domestic violence in our state. The specter of domestic violence fatalities each year in Maryland commands us to respond to victims with greater understanding and proactivity concerning the danger they confront every day.¹

1.2.2 To Respond to High-Danger Situations Specifically. The protocol seeks to establish a means for Maryland first responders to identify potentially increasingly violent or lethal situations, to assess them, and to offer choices that seek to account for the safety of the victim and her/his children. This process is called “lethality assessment.”

1.2.3 To Improve Cooperation, Communication, and Coordination between Law Enforcement and Service Providers. It is significant that only 4% of victims of domestic violence homicide were ever in contact with a domestic violence service provider.² The lethality screening instrument that is integral to this protocol, and the protocol itself, are designed to respond aggressively to this dysfunctional statistic. However, a successful response depends on the willingness of law enforcement agencies and service providers to work together in different ways. Without cooperation, communication, and coordination, law enforcement agencies and service providers cannot effectively identify victims who are in potentially lethal situations and cannot properly connect them to safety and services. Law enforcement and service providers need to recognize that the safety of victims may best be achieved by their mutual willingness to work together.
1.3 Goals

1.3.1 Accordingly, the goals of this protocol are to:

a. Reduce the danger level for victims;

b. Reduce domestic-related fatalities;

c. Cause greater awareness among criminal justice and domestic violence service professionals of increased danger and lethality;\(^3\)

d. Cause greater consideration among criminal justice and domestic violence service professionals of proactive interventions;

e. Educate criminal justice and domestic violence service professionals;

f. Give victims an opportunity “to see themselves, their abusers, and their overall predicaments...” through a “...different lens.”

g. Enhance cooperation, communication and coordination among criminal justice and domestic violence service professionals.
2.0 Definition of Domestic Violence
2.1 Definition
2.2 Explanation of "Intimate Relationship"

2.1 Definition

2.1.1 Act of Violence in Intimate Relationship. Domestic violence occurs when a person commits or attempts to commit one of the following acts against a current or former spouse or a person with whom he/she has, or has had, an intimate relationship:

a. An act that causes physical injury,

b. An act that places one in fear of physical injury to self or others,

c. Sexual assault,

d. Property crimes,

e. Violation of a protective order,

f. False imprisonment,

g. Harassment, or

h. Stalking.4

2.2 Explanation of "Intimate Relationship"

2.2.1 An "intimate relationship" is one in which heterosexual or homosexual partners have, or have had, a sexual or emotional relationship.

2.2.2 Intimate Partners. Persons involved in an intimate relationship are partners who:

a. Are married, separated, or divorced;

b. Live or have lived together;

c. Have children in common, or

d. Date, or have dated, but do not live, or never have lived, together.5
3.1 Intimate Partner Homicide

3.1.1 Prevalence.

a. "Femicide, the homicide of women, is the leading cause of death in the United States among young African-American women aged 15 to 45 years and the seventh leading cause of premature death among women overall. American women are killed by intimate partners (husbands, lovers, ex-husbands, or ex-lovers) more often than any other type of perpetrator. Intimate partner homicide accounts for approximately 40% to 50% of US femicides but a relatively small proportion of male homicides (5.9%). The percentage of intimate partner homicides involving male victims decreased between 1976 and 1996, whereas the percentage of female victims increased, from 54% to 72%."6

"The majority (67%-80%) of intimate partner homicides involves physical abuse of the female by the male before the murder, no matter which partner is killed. Therefore, one of the major ways to decrease intimate partner homicide is to identify and intervene with battered women at risk."7

b. On average, more than three women are murdered by their husbands or boyfriends in this country every day. In 2000, 1,247 women and 440 men were killed by an intimate partner.8

3.2 Scope of Domestic Violence

3.2.1 Most Victims Are Women. Domestic violence occurs in many relationships. Men and women alike commit crimes of violence against persons with whom they have been intimate. However, the vast majority of victims in domestic cases—85%-90%—are women.9

3.2.2 Estimates Vary, But in the Millions. Many studies have examined the scope of domestic violence in the United States. Estimates range from 960,000 incidents of violence against a current or former spouse, boyfriend, or girlfriend per year10 to three million women who are physically abused by their husband or boyfriend per year.11
3.2.3 **Prevalence Is Higher Than Most Think.** "The prevalence of domestic violence is higher than most people think. We now estimate that between 700,000 and 1,100,000 women every year seek care at emergency departments for acute injuries incurred from abuse," according to Jacquelyn Campbell, PhD, RN, professor at the Johns Hopkins University School of Nursing and principal investigator of the study. "That estimate does not include the significant numbers of additional women who seek care at emergency departments for indirect symptoms of abuse, such as emotional stress or chronic pain from previous injuries."\(^{12}\)

3.2.4 **Danger of Domestic Violence.**

Women who are injured during the commission of a violent crime are nearly twice as likely to be injured if the assailant is an intimate, than if the assailant is a stranger; and in about a quarter of the domestic violence cases the victim seeks medical treatment usually for cuts, bruises, black eyes, and similar injuries.\(^{13}\) In addition, a sizable percentage of persons involved in domestic violence are victims of repeated offenses at the hands of their abusers.\(^{14}\) The frequency of such occurrences indicates escalating violence and severity and increases the likelihood of such events leading to homicide. The fact that women now report violence perpetrated by an intimate at the same rate as that committed by a stranger highlights the serious nature of assaults by intimates.\(^{15}\)

3.2.5 **Violence Extends Equal Across the State.** Efforts to serve victims must be uniform and reach out across the state, for the problem of domestic violence extends equally to people living in our cities, suburbs, and rural areas.\(^{16}\)
4.0 Lethality Assessment

4.1 Introduction

In the context of the protocol, lethality assessment for first responders is comprised of conducting a lethality assessment through the use of a screening instrument, contacting the domestic violence hotline when a victim is assessed as being in danger, asking the victim to speak with a hotline counselor, and offering services to the victim as determined by the situation.

4.2 When to Initiate a Lethality Assessment

4.2.1 Criteria. The first responder should initiate a lethality assessment when he/she responds to a domestic situation, and

a. There is reason to believe that an assault or an act that constitutes domestic violence has occurred, whether or not there is an arrest; or

b. There is a belief or sense on the part of the first responder that once the victim is no longer in the care or presence of the first responder the potential for assault or danger is high;

c. Repeat calls for service; or

d. The first responder believes one should be conducted.

4.3 How to Conduct a Lethality Assessment

4.3.1 Lethality Assessment Form. The first responder will use the form entitled “Domestic Violence Lethality Screen for First Responders” (referred to as “Lethality Screen”) to ask the victim the lethality assessment questions.
4.3.2 Lethality Assessment Questions. The lethality assessment questions are as follows:

1. Has he/she ever used a weapon against you or threatened you with a weapon?
2. Has he/she threatened to kill you or your children?
3. Do you think he/she might try to kill you?
4. Does he/she have a gun or can he get one easily?
5. Has he/she ever tried to choke you?
6. Is he/she violently or constantly jealous or does he/she control most of your daily activities?
7. Have you left him/her or separated after living together or being married?
8. Is he/she unemployed?
9. Has he/she tried to kill himself?
10. Do you have a child that he/she knows is not his/hers?
11. Does he/she follow or spy on you or leave threatening messages?

4.3.3 How to Ask the Questions. The first responder should advise the victim that he/she will ask the victim a short series of questions to help the responder determine how much immediate danger the victim is in. The assessment questions should be in the order they are listed on the form.

4.3.4 Ask All the Questions. If time permits, first responders should ask all the questions, even if the victim responds positively to Questions #1 through #3. The more questions to which the victim responds positively, the clearer and more immediate it is that the victim is in danger.

4.3.5 Assessing the Responses

a. After the first responder asks the questions on the Lethality Screen, he/she will handle the information as follows:

(1) Yes to Q. #1, #2, or #3 → Referral. A “yes” or positive response by the victim to any of Questions #1, #2, or #3 reflects a high danger situation and automatically triggers the protocol referral process.

(2) Yes to Four of Q. #4–#11 → Referral. If the victim gives negative responses to Questions #1–#3, but positive responses to at least four of Questions #4–#11, that reflects a high danger situation and triggers the protocol referral.
b. "No," or negative responses, to all of the assessment questions, or positive responses to no more than three of Questions #4-#11, may still trigger the protocol referral if the first responder believes it is appropriate.

(1) A first responder may trigger the protocol referral whenever he/she believes the victim is in a potentially lethal situation.

(2) Whenever the victim has responded negatively to all questions or given positive responses to no more than three of Questions #4-#11, the first responder should ask the victim the following question: "Is there anything else that worries you about your safety? (If "yes") What worries you?" The response to the question may help the first responder better determine whether the protocol referral should be triggered.

4.4 Protocol Referral Not Triggered or Victim Does Not Complete the Screen

4.4.1 If the protocol referral is not triggered or the victim does not complete the lethality screen, the first responder will:

b. Advise of Dangerous Situation. Advise the victim that domestic violence is dangerous, and sometimes fatal;

c. Advise to Watch for Signs. Inform the victim to watch for the signs listed in the screen because they may convey to her that she is at increased level of danger;

d. Refer to Provider. Refer the victim to the domestic violence service provider; and

e. Provide Contact Information. Provide the victim with the first responder's contact information or contact information of others who may be available during times when the first responder is not, in case the victim wants to talk further or needs help.

e. Prepare an incident report.

(a) Record what occurred concerning the screen,

(b) Note that the protocol referral process was not triggered,

(c) Specify what resources were recommended to the victim, and

(d) Explain what, if any, follow-up actions were taken and why.
4.5 High Danger Assessment—Implementation of the Protocol Referral

4.5.1 Response by Law Enforcement

If a high danger assessment is made or the first responder believes it is appropriate, the first responder will implement a protocol referral as follows:

a. **Advise of Assessment.** Advise the victim that the first responder has conducted an assessment of the victim’s situation that has shown that the victim is at an increased level of danger, and that people in the victim’s situation have been killed.

b. **Request by First Responder to Call Hotline.** The first responder will then tell the victim that he/she would like to call the domestic violence hotline to have the victim speak with a counselor.

c. **If the victim agrees to speak with the counselor,** the first responder will call the domestic violence hotline and advise the counselor that he/she has made a high danger assessment, or believes that the victim is in danger, and would like the counselor to speak with the victim.

   (1) At the appropriate time during the conversation between the victim and the counselor, the counselor will ask the victim if she/he may speak with the first responder about the situation.

   (2) The first responder will be guided by his/her discussion with the counselor.

d. **If the victim initially declines to speak with the counselor,** the first responder will:
   (1) Tell the victim that the first responder will contact the domestic violence hotline to receive guidance on how to proceed with the situation;

   (2) Tell the victim that he/she would like the victim to reconsider speaking with the hotline counselor; and

   (3) After the first responder concludes the conversation with the counselor, ask the victim if she/he has reconsidered and would now like to speak with the counselor.
e. If the victim continues to decline to speak with the counselor, the first responder will:

(1) **Repeat Assessment.** Reiterate his/her assessment that the victim is in a dangerous situation;

(2) **Advise to Watch for Signs.** Inform the victim to watch for the signs listed in the assessment because they may convey to her that she is at increased level of danger;

(3) **Refer to Provider.** Strongly encourage the victim to call the domestic violence service provider and provide the referral information;

(4) **Provide First Responder Contact Information.** Provide the victim with the first responder’s work phone number or the phone number of others who may be available during times when the first responder is not, in case the victim wants to talk further or needs help;

(5) **Review Basic Safety Steps.** Review some basic safety steps and advise the victim not to let her abuser know about these steps because that may provoke the abuser to further violence. Such steps may include any of the following if the first responder believes they are appropriate for the situation:

(a) Suggesting that the victim consider filing for a protective/peace order;

(b) Advising the victim to consider staying at another location if the abuser has been arrested because the abuser will usually be released from custody in a matter of hours;

(c) If the victim wishes to leave the home, advising the victim:

(i) To remove necessary documents and items such as birth certificates, social security cards, insurance cards and policies, passports or visas (as applicable), money/credit cards, checkbooks, bankbooks, food stamps, copy of a protective/peace order, vehicle registration, copy of the lease/deed to home, medical and school records, custody papers, power of attorney/will, medications, prescriptions, keys to the home and vehicles, address book, telephone cards, clothes;

(ii) That the first responder will either provide or arrange for transportation for the victim, if needed;
(iii) That the domestic violence program can provide shelter and counseling and referral services.

(6) Inform victim about possible follow-up. Where a law enforcement officer is the first responder, inform the victim that he/she is concerned about the victim’s well-being and that other law enforcement officers may be in contact with her; and

(7) Inform domestic violence unit or supervisor. Where a law enforcement officer is the first responder, notify the agency’s domestic violence unit, if one exists, or a supervisor of the high danger assessment and of the victim’s wishes, and offer a personal assessment of the victim’s situation based on the information that the first responder obtained from the encounter and/or from previous encounters.

(a) Based on the information provided by the first responder and/or information known to the domestic violence unit, the unit or supervisor may decide that no follow-up should be made in this particular case or to make contact with the victim and/or abuser.

(b) If a decision is made to contact the victim and/or abuser, the unit or a supervisor will devise a plan for contact to better determine the victim’s situation and need for further help and the danger posed by the abuser. The potential risks to the victim must be carefully weighed before activating such a plan.

f. Assist with Safety Plan. With permission from the victim and within the responder’s capability, assist:

(1) The domestic violence program counselor in developing an immediate safety plan, and

(2) The victim in carrying out the immediate safety plan; and

g. Document. Prepare a report fully documenting the incident.
4.5.2 Response by the Service Provider—Striving for a Team Approach

a. Upon Receipt of a Phone Call from a Law Enforcement Officer.

(1) Upon being advised by a law enforcement officer that a victim has been assessed as being in high danger, the counselor will speak with the victim if the victim agrees, counsel the victim as appropriate, and determine immediate safety planning measures with the victim.

(2) If necessary, the counselor will ask the victim if she/he may discuss the victim’s situation with the officer in order to coordinate safety plans.

(3) If it appears to the counselor that she will likely be on the phone with the victim for a lengthy period of time and that the officer’s assistance or presence does not seem to be required any longer, the counselor will ask to speak with the officer.

(a) The counselor will explain to the officer that his/her assistance or presence or assistance does not seem to be required any longer and that, if the victim agrees, the officer may consider leaving.

(b) If the officer chooses to do so, he/she will speak with the victim to assure himself/herself that his/her assistance or presence is no longer required.

(c) If the victim agrees, and the officer believes that the situation on the scene is stable and safe and is otherwise a situation where he/she would normally return to service, the officer may return to service after:

(i) Providing the victim with his/her work phone number or the phone number of others who may be available during times when the first responder is not, in case the victim wants to talk further or needs help; and

(ii) Advising the victim to call 911 if the victim needs emergency assistance.
b. **Intake.** If a victim who has received a high danger assessment contacts the program either during the initial phone call or by going to the program, the program will:

(1) Process the victim according to its administrative intake procedures; and

(2) Commit itself to providing enhanced services to victims referred to it as high danger cases and seek to develop or use best practices for helping such victims. Examples of best practices might include guarantees of shelter as long as the victim’s situation is urgent, of an internal team approach to safety planning, to provision of resources, and to other options within 24 hours of the program’s first business day, of consultation with legal services, if available, of sharing resources across programs; asking clients to sign waivers in the event of their death.

c. **Conduct Danger Assessment.** As part of the administrative intake procedures primarily in cases where the victim has gone to the program for assistance, the counselor will:

(1) Administer a Danger Assessment after explaining to the victim why she/he is conducting it;

(2) If the counselor believes it helpful or necessary, either advise the victim, to reinforce your counsel that the victim may be in serious danger, that the results of the Danger Assessment confirm the first responder’s assessment, or seek to discuss and determine with the victim why the Lethality Screen and Danger Assessment are not consistent; and

(3) Advise the victim of the final results of the Danger Assessment and explain the degree of danger that the victim is in.\(^{18}\)

b. **Team Approach.** If the victim agrees and the counselor believes that it would be a constructive process, a coordinated team approach to securing the victim’s safety would begin immediately with the safety planning, and with other agencies/organizations being contacted as necessary for further assistance. Other agencies could include, among others, law enforcement, the state’s attorney’s office, parole and probation, social services, adult and/or child protective services, mental health services, humane society.
e. Safety Planning.

(1) The entire purpose of identifying victims who are in danger of death and getting them to speak with a counselor on the scene of a domestic call is to help victims obtain services. Once victims are connected to services, the process of securing their lives through safety planning can begin, if and to the extent that they choose.

(2) A safety plan is an individualized approach victims develop to reduce the risks they and their children face.

(a) Safety plans include strategies to reduce the risk of physical violence caused by a batterer and to maintain basic human needs such as income, housing, health care, food, child care, and education for the children.

(b) The particulars of each plan vary depending on whether a victim has separated from the batterer, plans to leave, or decides to stay; on what resources are available to her/him; and on the time frame, i.e., does the plan involve an immediately dangerous situation, is it a short-term plan, or is it long term?

(c) As a victim’s life and circumstances change because of the abuser or by life in general, how the victim copes with these changes is part of a dynamic process that will enable her/him to maintain varying degrees of control over her/his life.19

4.6 Practical Considerations in Administering Screen

4.6.1 When an Officer Is Unable to Initiate a Lethality Screen on the Scene or Carry Out the Full Protocol

a. Consider Circumstances. The first responder must consider the immediate circumstances in determining whether to initiate a Lethality Screen and whether it will be feasible to employ all aspects of the protocol referral process. Such a consideration may sometimes occur when the abuser is present, the victim is inebriated, the victim goes to the hospital, or there is no telephone available.

b. Do Not Initiate Screen If Unsafe. If the circumstances do not permit or the first responder believes that initiation of the Lethality Screen may place the victim in immediate danger if the first responder is not able to assure the victim’s immediate safety, the first responder should not initiate the Lethality Screen at that time.
c. **Follow-up Cases in Which Screen Is Not Initiated.** If the first responder decides *not is unable* to initiate a Lethality Screen at the scene but believes the victim may be in danger, the first responder should implement as many procedures of the protocol referral as he/she can, and take whatever measures are considered necessary and desirable by the victim. The officer should be in contact with a hotline counselor to discuss the situation to better determine a course of action. The officer, or the agency’s domestic violence unit, should consider trying to administer a Lethality Screen at a safe time and location in order to determine the level of danger the particular victim is in.

### 4.6.2 When the Victim Has No Phone Available

When the victim has no landline phone, the first responder is under no obligation to use his/her own cell phone. However, because the victim is in danger, the first responder should consider this as an option, or consider other possibilities such as using a neighbor’s phone. Doing so will enable the victim to connect with services that may help her/him and will provide the first responder with a practical way to handle the situation.

### 4.6.3 When the Victim’s Landline Phone Is Used

a. **Clearing the Call to the Hotline.** If you use the victim’s landline phone to contact the hotline, you must ensure that you tell the victim to clear the line of the hotline’s phone number. For most phones, calling a familiar number after the hotline call, for example, will clear the previous hotline call. Have the victim clear the number while you are on the scene.

b. **Do Not Use Landline Phones That Record the History of Outgoing Calls.** Some landline phones will maintain a history of outgoing calls which cannot be deleted. Ask the victim whether her phone has that capability. If the victim does not know, do not use that landline phone.

c. **Do Not Use Victim’s Cell Phone.** Do not use the victim’s cell phone because call histories cannot be deleted.
4.6.4 Use of the Lethality Screen in Cases of Mutual Battery

In mutual battery cases, usually one of the parties has acted in self-defense. Administering the Lethality Screen to an abuser would enable the abuser to manipulate the protocol referral process and possibly have dangerous repercussions for the real victim. Maryland law expects officers to investigate mutual battery cases with a view toward identifying the primary aggressor. Administering the Lethality Screen challenges officers to properly investigate cases of mutual battery. The Model Domestic Violence Policy for the Maryland Law Enforcement Community advises that "...cases of dual arrest should not be a frequent occurrence." It is highly unlikely that both parties would exhibit the dangerous and controlling factors that comprise the Lethality Screen. Assess the situation very carefully. Be wary of administering Lethality Screens to both parties.

4.7 Domestic Violence Lethality Screen for First Responders–Appendices 1 and 2

4.7.1 See Appendix 1 for the "Domestic Violence Lethality Screen for First Responders."

4.7.2 See Appendix 2 for the article entitled "Data Supporting a Lethality Risk Reduction Program for Victims of Domestic Violence: A Law Enforcement and Service Provider Collaboration."

4.8 Danger Assessment–Appendices 3 and 4

4.8.1 See Appendix 3 for the "Danger Assessment."

4.8.2 See Appendix 4 for the article entitled "Assessing Risk Factors for Intimate Partner Homicide."
5.0 Intervention Planning
5.1 The Goal of Intervention
5.2 Empowering the Victim
5.3 Containing the Abuser
5.4 Interventions Against the Abuser

5.1 The Goal of Intervention

5.1.1 When an assessment is made that a victim is in high danger and needs helps, it is important to keep in mind that the abuser is a high danger risk and requires attention. Whether or not the abuser has committed a crime that warrants specific interventions, an intervention plan should be developed concerning the abuser. The goal of intervention planning is to contain the abuser for the purpose of preventing violent acts against the victim or others and providing the victim with a sense of security and empowerment. As with safety planning, interventions should be considered within the framework of containment for the immediate circumstances, the short-term, and the long-term.

5.2 Empowering the Victim

5.2.1 Three Objectives. In order to achieve the goal of empowering the victim, three objectives must be met:

a. To end unwanted control by the abuser.

(1) Unwanted control can be gained by using physical, social, emotional, informational, and financial methods.

(2) Unwanted control can be gained by direct means or indirectly through the actions, for example, of the courts, schools, or child support system;

b. To expand the victim’s exercise of free choice and activity, and to strengthen her ability to resist and repel the abuser; and

c. To enhance the victim’s support system.

5.3 Containing the Abuser

5.3.1 Four Objectives. In order to achieve the goal of containing the abuser, four objectives must be met:

a. To hold abusers solely and strictly accountable by enforcing the law;

b. To establish a “legal hold” over the abuser through the allowable actions of the court having to do with bail, pretrial release, probation, and protective/peace orders;
c. To require restitution to the victim and the community by covering costs related to medical care, shelter, lost work, trauma counseling, court costs, and attorney fees; and

d. To provide or require attendance in abuser intervention programs.

5.3.2 Consideration of Actions. In some cases it will be better for the safety of the victim that no proactive or reactive intervention should be undertaken because such action might escalate the level of danger posed to the victim. Such an action should be a conscious and coordinated decision based on assessments and discussions between the victim and the team of service professionals assisting the victim.

5.3.3 Required Action to Protect Victim. In most circumstances, criminal offenses or other actions will have occurred that will require affirmative action on the part of the criminal justice system. In these cases it is critical that the criminal justice professionals and the courts act “to reduce the risks their actions are creating for the victim.”

5.4 Interventions Against the Abuser

5.4.1 Actions to Contain Abuser. The following are actions that the criminal justice system, working with the victim, can take to contain the abuser

a. Law enforcement contacts
b. “Warn-off” letters from law enforcement or the prosecutor
c. “Stalk the Stalker” and other surveillance measures
d. Protective/Peace orders
e. Arrest and detention for crimes directed against the victim
f. Arrest and detention for other criminal activity, not related to the victim
g. Permit revocation
h. Confiscation of firearms
i. Bail and other conditions of release, particularly those related to violations of the abuse provision of protective orders
j. Jail, fines, restitution, including weekend or “parttime” jail sentences
k. Revocation of probation
l. Suspended sentences
m. Supervised probation
n. Intensive supervision and day reporting
o. Electronic monitoring and “house arrest”
p. Psychiatric evaluation and hospitalization/drug and/or alcohol treatment (as conditions of release/probation)
q. Abuse intervention programs
r. As a condition of release/probation/suspended sentence and not as an “alternative” to a criminal resolution of a case
s. Deportation.²¹
Appendices

1. Lethality Screen for First Responders
2. “Data Supporting a Lethality Risk Reduction Program for Victims of Domestic Violence: A Law Enforcement and Service Provider Collaboration”
3. Danger Assessment
4. “Assessing Risk Factors for Intimate Partner Homicide”
Endnotes

1. The Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence researches various sources, including law enforcement and media accounts, to make as accurate a report as is feasible of domestic violence fatalities in the state. The figures include homicides of victims and abusers, suicides of assailants, and third party homicides. The MNADV publishes an annual statistical report of fatalities that occurred between July of the previous year to June of the current year based on its information-gathering methods. The fatality information is used as part of the MNADV’s annual memorial service for victims of domestic violence.

2. Missed Opportunities for Prevention of Femicide by Health Care Providers, Jacquelyn Campbell et al., Preventive Medicine, 33, pp. 373-380.


4. Domestic Violence, International Association of Chiefs of Police, Training Key #411, 1991. Also,

Model Domestic Violence Policy for the Maryland Law Enforcement Community, Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence, March 1998 (rev. April 2004), Section 2.1.1.

Stalking and harassment were added by the Lethality Assessment Committee because they are common to domestic violence and because they are two acts within § 3-1503 of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article that permit an individual to obtain a peace order. The other acts listed in this section of the protocol are virtually all covered by the acts enumerated in CJ, § 3-1503. In the 2005 session of the Maryland legislature, stalking was added to the list of acts that constitute “abuse” under FL, § 4-501 (b), which also includes an act that causes physical injury and false imprisonment, and, although not as comprehensively stated as the statute, an act that places one in fear of physical injury and sexual assault (these latter two acts were intended to be broader in scope than the similar abuse provisions which are listed as “an act that places (a person) in fear of imminent serious bodily harm” and “rape or sexual offense under §§ 3-303 through 3-308 of the Criminal Law Article or attempted rape or sexual offense in any degree.” Accordingly, the definition in the protocol has several precedents whose definitions are either based on the other or are similar.

5. Model Domestic Violence Policy for the Maryland Law Enforcement Community, Section 2.2.1-a.

7. Ibid.


13. Ibid., table 14, p. 8. "Women suffering violent victimizations were almost twice as likely to be injured if the offender was an intimate (59%) compared to offenders who were strangers (27%). Women were also more likely to receive injuries requiring medical care if the attacker was an intimate (27%) compared to a stranger (14%)."

14. *Female Victims of Violent Crime*, table 5, p. 3. "About 1 in 5 women victimized by their spouse or ex-spouse reported that they had been the victim of a series of similar crimes. They had sustained at least three assaults within 6 months of the interview, and the assaults were so similar that they could not remember them distinctly."

15. Ibid., table 6, page 3. 56% of victimizations by intimates, compared to 57% by strangers, were reported to the police by female victims.


17. The Lethality Screen for First Responders was developed by the Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence Lethality Assessment Committee between October 2003 and June 2004, field tested between July and August 2004, and evaluated between October and February 2005. The Screen was approved by the Committee at its final meeting on February 23, 2005, with additional changes made in July 2005. See Appendices 1 and 2.


20. *Model Domestic Violence Policy for the Maryland Law Enforcement Community*, section 4.4.2-d.
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READING THE SIGNS
LAP Expansion

National

Byrne Initiative. In the last newsletter we reported on the selection of 8 jurisdictions in five states involving 26 law enforcement agencies and 8 domestic violence programs—Georgia, Indiana, Missouri, New Hampshire, and Oregon—to implement the LAP. After we traveled to the locations in April and May to meet and train the participating agencies and programs, the five states implemented the LAP in June and all participated in an evaluation roundtable at the Maritime Institute in September. They have been gathering and reporting on the same data that we have in Maryland. What we see in these reports from different jurisdictions is consistency in outcomes, and results indicating high levels of performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Screens</th>
<th>&quot;Spoke To&quot;</th>
<th>Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Byrne States</td>
<td>16,500,000</td>
<td>1,427 screens: (1,139 people per year, 1,253 screens/day)</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engaged</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
<td>2,772 screens: (1,797 people per year, 2,415 screens/day)</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other States. In addition, we have provided training to the following jurisdictions in 5 states:

- Delaware State Police and People's Place
- Barre City, Vermont
- Alachua County (including Gainesville and the University of Florida PDS, Florida (see related article)
- 12 of 13 programs and a number of law enforcement agencies in Mississippi
- 7 law enforcement agencies, including Oklahoma City and Tulsa PDs, and 8 programs in Oklahoma as part of a research grant to validate the Lethality Screen.

In Maryland

Law Enforcement. We now have 95 law enforcement agencies that have either implemented, involved in training, or committed to the LAP. That's 85% of the agencies that respond to calls for service. Over the coming year we will work in Prince George's County with the Family Crisis Center to bring the municipalities in that county on board. This would virtually complete the law enforcement phase of the LAP.

Other Disciplines. As we reported in the last newsletter, we have now moved to the next phase of LAP development. Over this past year we have worked with Atlantic General Hospital in Berlin and Frederick Memorial Hospital, the Faith Community in Montgomery County, and the Department of Social Services in Harford. In all cases we have completed protocol development and have either trained or preparing to train so that all these entities can implement the LAP in their communities in the very near future.

How are We Measuring Up?

2009 Objectives through June:
- 90 screens, average per agency: 123
- 60% "spoke to" percentage: 62%
- 29% of victims who spoke on the phone would go in for services: 32%
- 04% of victims did not answer the screen: 08%
- 50% of agencies below screens/population: 48%
- 53% of agencies at or above "spoke to" average of 60%: 52%
- 70% of agencies at or below "Did Not Answer" avg. of 04%: 71% (34 with 0%)

LAP Annual Award Winner

In October, the Westminster Police Department was given the LAP Award at the MNADV's 2009 Annual Awards Luncheon! Why did they win? Among other reasons, two points stand out boldly: Westminster officers successfully encouraged 93% of High Risk victims to speak to a hotline worker. And of those victims from Westminster who spoke on the phone, 56% of them, through the mutual encouragement of both the officer and the hotline worker, went into services! We would like to pay special tribute to the men and women of the Westminster Police Department and Chief Jeff Spaulding for their commitment to saving lives.

Congratulations to the Alachua County Sheriff's Office and Peaceful Paths, Gainesville, Florida for becoming the first non-Byrne jurisdiction to implement the LAP. The ACSO/Pepful Paths started, after 2 months of in-service training, on September 1st. Laura Knudson of the ACSO, the LAP coordinator, reports they have done about 30 screens, with a high "spoke to" rate, and 8 victims going into services. The screens, which are computerized, are sent immediately after the call to Peaceful Paths, the ACSO domestic violence unit, and the prosecutor's office, and follow-ups are done shortly after administration.
Hotline Guidelines

After a year of development, we completed the "Guidelines for Conversation between the Hotline Worker and Victim" in March. The purpose of the guidelines is to improve the effectiveness of the brief communication between the hotline worker and the victim at the scene of a domestic call. Because of the training schedule we were on, we taught the guidelines in 10 other states before having the opportunity to train our own program staffs. We conducted three train-the-trainer sessions and trained 37 advocates from 19 Maryland programs and People's Place in Delaware and two other agencies. All participants were provided with training materials to train their own staffs. We are hopeful that the use of the guidelines will improve our "services" rate. An evaluation by our Byrne partners revealed the guidelines have been constructive.

How Are We Really Doing?

Pretty good!
It is often difficult to gauge how well a program is doing in terms of results, success. These are often elusive goals. But we do measure and we see from those measurements that as a state, with all the individual law enforcement agencies and domestic violence programs partnering and contributing, we are doing exceptionally well. How could we think otherwise:

- when, in the second quarter of 2009, we achieved the highest "spoke to" rate ever at 65%,
- when over the first six months of the year, 532 High Danger victims (nearly 3 a day) went into services,
- When more and more people are looking at the LAP as a legitimate, effective tool.

Room for improvement.
That isn't to say we are doing perfectly, however. We're seeing perhaps two trends: (1) when a High Danger victim initially declines to speak with the hotline, the officer is sometimes not making the call; and (2) our "spoke to" rate in the third quarter declined significantly. We need to improve in these areas to get more high danger victims into services.

Still impressive nonetheless!
And in two larger agencies to whom the "no call" matter was raised, this is how they improved in one quarter: one agency went from a 53% call rate on High Danger cases to 79% when the matter was called to their attention; the other agency went from a 57% rate to 79%. Dramatic increases in both agencies, allowing for many more victims to go into services since both jurisdictions usually achieve about 50% of their victims going into services! What impressive responses by these two agencies!

Important Dates:
- 11/20/09: "Follow-up" Practices Roundtable
- 6/10/2010: Statewide L.A.P Conference
Both are FREE!

Administering Screens After TPO Hearings

Four counties are now administering screens after Temporary Protective Order hearings: Harford, Montgomery, Carroll, and now Frederick. In two quarters the Carroll County Sheriff's Office and Family and Children's Services of Central Maryland have partnered to compile the following remarkable numbers:

Total Screens: 132 (.7/day)
High Danger: 088 (67%)
"Spoke to": 074 (84%)
"Services": 042 (58%)

Training Bulletins

- Training Bulletin, Vol. 2, No. 2 (August) addressing when to administer the screen and when to call the hotline.
- Training Bulletin, Vol. 2, No. 3 (November) about getting High Danger victims to speak with the hotline.

Go to www.mnadv.org, at the lethality assessment link.

Great Read!
OUR PURPOSE

The primary purpose of domestic violence fatality review in Calvert County is to review deaths in which domestic violence has played a role, with the ultimate intent to prevent future occurrences.

The review process is aimed at creating a climate in which institutions and individuals in Calvert County will commit themselves to an enhanced response to domestic violence as a societal evil and a crime, and to victims that they might pursue a better quality of life.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

- **Who makes up the domestic violence fatality review team?**
  The CCDVFRT is made up of representatives from various agencies, including the State's Attorney's Office, the Sheriff's Office, Maryland State Police, Calvert Memorial Hospital, domestic violence agencies, the Health Department, Social Services, Parole and Probation, the Courts, as well as other knowledgeable individuals, including a survivor of domestic violence.

- **How does the team identify deaths to review?**
  The team will review any fatality, whether a homicide or suicide,涉及 victims and/or perpetrators of domestic violence. The team will identify cases through information from law enforcement agencies and the State's Attorney's office.

- **What happens to information shared with the team?**
  All members of the team sign a confidentiality agreement that information shared with the team, by team members or others providing information to the team, will be confidential. Exceptions are information which is already public, or which team members are ethically or legally required to report, such as child abuse.

- **Who can attend the meetings?**
  Because of the confidential nature of the information being discussed, only team members and individuals invited to present information about a particular case, who have signed confidentiality agreements, may participate.

- **How does the team publicize its findings and make changes happen?**
  Representatives will take recommendations concerning their agencies back to their agency heads. The team will publish annual reports which will not discuss particular cases, but will list the recommendations the team has agreed upon, concerning "agency responsiveness, agency policy and procedures, services, intervention strategies, the law at the local and state level, community education and training." The report will also include the status of prior recommendations.
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE FATALITY REVIEW IN MARYLAND

Domestic Violence Fatality Review Teams (DVFRTs) have been established in many jurisdictions nationwide to evaluate and better understand domestic homicides. The Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence has worked with Calvert County and Anne Arundel County to establish teams which can serve as models for other jurisdictions in Maryland which would like to work as teams to improve their responses to domestic violence.

By identifying and remediying gaps in services, understanding the circumstances leading up to and resulting from domestic violence-related homicides or suicides, and improving communication between agencies, we hope to prevent future deaths.

MARYLAND NETWORK AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
(301)355-4874
WWW.MHADV.ORG

THE MISSION OF THE CALVERT COUNTY DOMESTIC VIOLENCE FATALITY REVIEW TEAM IS:

- to reduce the incidence of domestic violence,
- to prevent the occurrence of domestic violence fatalities, and
- to improve the quality of life for victims of domestic violence and their families.

A MULTIAGENCY PARTNERSHIP TO LEARN FROM DOMESTIC VIOLENCE RELATED DEATHS IN CALVERT COUNTY

CALVERT COUNTY DOMESTIC VIOLENCE FATALITY REVIEW TEAM
CHAIRPERSON
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE FATALITY REVIEW TEAM
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REMEMBERING AND RESPONDING
Maryland Domestic Violence Fatality Review Newsletter
Timelines
Written timelines have been a significant part of protocol development since we have begun fatality review in Maryland. Teams that use timelines know how helpful they are to case review. They organize reviews, simplify case presentation, efficiently include all the important case information, and minimize distracting questions during reviews. A sample timeline is on the MNADV web site. Try it; you’ll like it.

Adding New Team Members
Fatality review teams should be a community effort, not just composed of members who represent the system. We continue to advocate for teams to provide for community representation by including, for example, survivors of domestic violence and members of the clergy. Why? In the case of survivors, who better understands the issue we are trying to tackle? In the case of the clergy, that’s who victims speak with. One study found that of 400 victims, 2% had reported their situation to a domestic violence program; yet a resounding 70% had spoken with a clergyman about the abuse! Consider how these additions might improve your team’s review process.

Individual vs. Systemic: Can You Meld the Two?
A difficult issue that some teams grapple with is how to engage people, usually family members and often children, left to deal with the aftermath of a homicide or suicide. Because teams are comprised of members who provide service to others, they want to reach out and help those particular individuals. Teams should remain mindful of their mission: to create systemic change. How does this case help you to see how gaps in the system can be plugged or how system improvements can be made? The findings of the particular case should result in recommendations that can be applied to the system. Does this mean that you are precluded from helping individuals? No. But the effort to help must maintain the confidentiality required by law and not jeopardize the safety of any of the individuals you are seeking to help. In addition, assistance should probably be provided within the context of an agency that would normally render the services being considered. How you go about making this happen should be the subject of discussion and agreement by the team.

Annual Report Time
It will be time, perhaps sooner than you’re ready. At least 12 teams will be producing annual reports next year for CY 2008. Writing an annual report can be daunting. One of the purposes of an annual report is to “evoke change.” What will evoke change is the substance of your findings and recommendations, not how the report looks. The latter is a consideration because you want to take pride in your product. The former will more likely create change. Look at the simplicity of the Baltimore City report (on the MNADV web site). Of course, what will be important to influencing change is the team’s will to see that change occurs and continuing to follow-up on recommendations that have not yet been acted upon.

Statewide Annual Report
In 2009 the MNADV plans to take all annual reports prepared by county teams and create one centralized report for statewide dissemination. We hope this will be the beginning of an effort to take some of your county recommendations and give them a statewide application.